FEP Medical Policy Manual

FEP 2.01.87 Confocal Laser Endomicroscopy

Effective Date: April 15, 2017

2.01.80 Endoscopic Radiofrequency Ablation or Cryoablation for Barrett Esophagus
2.01.84 Chromoendoscopy as an Adjunct to Colonoscopy
6.01.32 Virtual Colonoscopy/Computed Tomography Colonography

Confocal Laser Endomicroscopy

Description
Confocal laser endomicroscopy (CLE), also known as confocal fluorescent endomicroscopy and optical endomicroscopy, allows in vivo microscopic imaging of cells during endoscopy. CLE is proposed for a variety of purposes, especially as a real-time alternative to biopsy/polypectomy and histopathologic analysis during colonoscopy and for targeting areas to undergo biopsy in patients with inflammatory bowel disease or Barrett esophagus.

FDA REGULATORY STATUS
Two confocal laser endomicroscopy (CLE) devices, listed below, have been cleared for marketing by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) through the 510(k) process.

Cellvizio® (Mauna Kea Technologies, Paris, France) is a confocal microscopy with a fiber optic probe (ie, a probe-based CLE system). The device consists of a laser scanning unit, proprietary software, a flat-panel display, and miniaturized fiber optic probes. The F-600 system, cleared by FDA in 2006, can be used with any standard endoscope with a working channel of at least 2.8 mm. According to FDA, the device is intended for confocal laser imaging the internal microstructure of tissues in the anatomic tract (gastrointestinal or respiratory) that are accessed by an endoscope. The 100 series version of the system was cleared by FDA in 2015 for imaging the internal microstructure of tissues and for visualization of body cavities organs and canals during endoscopic and laparoscopic surgery. FDA product code: GCJ.

Confocal Video Colonoscope (Pentax Medical, Montvale, NJ) is an endoscopy-based CLE system. The EC-3S7OCILK system, cleared by FDA in 2004, is used with a Pentax Video Processor and with a Pentax Confocal Laser System. According to FDA, the device is intended to provide optical and microscopic visualization of and therapeutic access to the lower gastrointestinal tract. FDA product code: GCJ/KOG (endoscope and accessories).

POLICY STATEMENT
Use of confocal laser endomicroscopy is considered investigational.
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RATIONAL

Summary of Evidence
For individuals who have suspected or known colorectal lesions who receive confocal laser endomicroscopy (CLE) as an adjunct to colonoscopy, the evidence includes multiple diagnostic accuracy studies. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, test accuracy and validity, and resource utilization. While the reported sensitivity and specificity in these studies are high, it is uncertain whether the accuracy is sufficiently high to replace biopsy/polypectomy and histopathologic analysis. Moreover, issues remain about the use of this technology in practice (e.g., the learning curve, interpretation of lesions). The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have Barrett esophagus who are undergoing surveillance who receive CLE with targeted biopsy, the evidence includes several randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and a meta-analysis. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, test accuracy and validity, and resource utilization. Evidence from RCTs has suggested CLE is more sensitive than standard endoscopy for identifying areas of dysplasia. However, a 2014 meta-analysis found that the pooled sensitivity, specificity, and negative predictive value of available studies were not sufficiently high to replace the standard surveillance protocol. National guidelines continue to recommend 4-quadrant random biopsies for patients with Barrett esophagus undergoing surveillance. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have gastrointestinal lesions and have had endoscopic treatment who receive CLE, the evidence includes 1 RCT and a systematic review. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, test accuracy and validity, and resource utilization. The single RCT, which compared high definition (HD) white-light endoscopy with HD white-light endoscopy plus CLE, was stopped early because an interim analysis did not find a between-group difference in outcomes. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have a suspicion of a condition diagnosed by identification and biopsy of lesions (e.g., lung, bladder, or gastric cancer) who receive CLE, the evidence includes a small number of diagnostic accuracy studies. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, test accuracy and validity, and resource utilization. There is limited evidence on the diagnostic accuracy of these other indications. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy
In 2006 (reaffirmed in 2011), the American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ASGE) published guidelines on the role of endoscopy in the surveillance of premalignant conditions of the upper gastrointestinal (GI) tract. The guidelines included the following statements on surveillance of patients with Barrett esophagus (BE):

2. “The cost effectiveness of surveillance in patients without dysplasia is controversial. Surveillance endoscopy is appropriate for patients fit to undergo therapy, should endoscopic/histologic findings dictate. For patients with established Barrett's esophagus of any length and with no dysplasia, after 2 consecutive examinations within 1 year, an acceptable interval for additional surveillance is every 3 years.”
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3. “Patients with high-grade dysplasia are at significant risk for prevalent or incident cancer. Patients who are surgical candidates may elect to have definitive therapy. Patients who elect surveillance endoscopy should undergo follow-up every 3 months for at least 1 year, with multiple large capacity biopsy specimens obtained at 1 cm intervals. After 1 year of no cancer detection, the interval of surveillance may be lengthened if there are no dysplastic changes on 2 subsequent endoscopies performed at 3-month intervals. High-grade dysplasia should be confirmed by an expert GI pathologist.”

4. “Surveillance in patients with low-grade dysplasia is recommended. The significance of low-grade dysplasia as a risk factor for cancer remains poorly defined; therefore, the optimal interval and biopsy protocol has not been established. A follow-up EGD [screening esophagastroduodenoscopy] (i.e., at 6 months) should be performed with concentrated biopsies in the area of dysplasia. If low-grade dysplasia is confirmed, then one possible management scheme would be surveillance at 12 months and yearly thereafter as long as dysplasia persists.”

ASGE published a technology status evaluation report on confocal laser endomicroscopy (CLE) in 2014. It concluded that CLE is an emerging technology with the potential to improve patient care. However, before it can be widely accepted, further studies are needed in the following areas:

1. “[T]he applicability and practicality of CLE, especially in community settings [because the research has been done] primarily in academic centers.”

2. The “learning curve of CLE image interpretation … and additional time needed to perform the procedure…."

3. The clinical efficacy of the technology … compared to other available advanced imaging technologies…."

4. Improvements in CLE imaging and image interpretation…."

American Gastroenterological Association

In 2011, the American Gastroenterological Association published a position statement on the management of BE. The statement included the following recommendations on endoscopic surveillance of BE:

“The guideline developers suggest that endoscopic surveillance be performed in patients with Barrett’s esophagus (weak recommendation, moderate-quality evidence).

The guideline developers suggest the following surveillance intervals (weak recommendation, low-quality evidence):

- No dysplasia: 3-5 years
- Low-grade dysplasia: 6-12 months
- High-grade dysplasia in the absence of eradication therapy: 3 months”

“For patients with Barrett’s esophagus who are undergoing surveillance, the guideline developers recommend:

- Endoscopic evaluation be performed using white light endoscopy (strong recommendation, moderate-quality evidence).
- 4-quadrant biopsy specimens be taken every 2 cm (strong recommendation, moderate-quality evidence).
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- Specific biopsy specimens of any mucosal irregularities be submitted separately to the pathologist (strong recommendation, moderate-quality evidence).
- 4-quadrant biopsy specimens be obtained every 1 cm in patients with known or suspected dysplasia (strong recommendation, moderate-quality evidence).

The guideline developers suggest against requiring chromoendoscopy or advanced imaging techniques for the routine surveillance of patients with Barrett’s esophagus at this time (weak recommendation, low-quality evidence)."

U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations

The U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendations on colorectal cancer screening do not mention CLE.

Medicare National Coverage

There is no national coverage determination (NCD). In the absence of an NCD, coverage decisions are left to the discretion of local Medicare carriers.
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