

FEP 7.01.104 Subtalar Arthroereisis

Effective Date: July 15, 2018

Related Policies: None

Subtalar Arthroereisis

Description

Arthroereisis is a surgical procedure that purposely limits movement across a joint. Subtalar arthroereisis (STA) or extraosseous talotarsal stabilization is designed to correct excessive talar displacement and calcaneal eversion by reducing pronation across the subtalar joint. Extraosseous talotarsal stabilization is also being evaluated as a treatment of talotarsal joint dislocation. It is performed by placing an implant in the sinus tarsi, which is a canal located between the talus and the calcaneus.

FDA REGULATORY STATUS

A number of implants have been cleared for marketing by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) through the 510(k) process, and are summarized in Table 1. In general, these devices are indicated for insertion into the sinus tarsi of the foot, allowing normal subtalar joint motion while blocking excessive pronation.

Table 1. Representative Subtalar Implant Devices Cleared by FDA^a

Device	Manufacturer	Date Cleared	510(k) No.
Subtalar MBA®	Integra LifeSciences	07/96	K960692
OsteoMed Subtalar Implant System	OsteoMed	08/03	K031155
BioPro Subtalar Implant	BioPro	09/04	K041936
HyProCure Subtalar Implant System	Graham Medical Technologies	09/04	K042030
MBA _{resorb} Implant	Kinetikos Medical	09/05	K051611
Metasurg Subtalar Implant	Metasurg	05/07	K070441
Subtalar Implant	Biomet Sports Medicine	07/07	K071498
Arthrex ProStop Plus Arthroereisis Subtalar Implant	Arthrex	01/08	K071456
Trilliant Surgical Subtalar Implant	Trilliant Surgical	02/11	K103183
Metasurg Subtalar Implant	Metasurg	08/11	K111265
NuGait™ Subtalar Implant System	Ascension Orthopedic	08/11	K111799
Disco Subtalar Implant	Trilliant Surgical	12/11	K111834
OsteoSpring FootJack Subtalar Implant System	OsteoSpring Medical	12/11	K112658
IFS Subtalar Implant	Internal Fixation Systems	12/11	K113399
The Life Spine Subtalar Implant System	Life Spine	0616	K160169

FDA: Food and Drug Administration.

^a FDA 510(k) database search product code HWC (03/08/18).

POLICY STATEMENT

Subtalar arthroereisis is considered **investigational**.

FEP 7.01.104 Subtalar Arthroereisis

BENEFIT APPLICATION

Experimental or investigational procedures, treatments, drugs, or devices are not covered (See General Exclusion Section of brochure).

RATIONALE

Summary of Evidence

For individuals who have flatfoot or talotarsal joint dislocation who receive STA, the evidence includes mainly single-arm case series and a small nonrandomized controlled trial comparing STA with lateral column calcaneal lengthening. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, and quality of life. The small nonrandomized comparative trial (N=24 feet) is considered preliminary, and interpretation of the case series evidence is limited by the use of adjunctive procedures in addition to STA, creating difficulties in determining the extent to which each modality contributed to the outcomes. Another limitation of the published data is the lack of long-term outcomes, which is of particular importance because the procedure is often performed in growing children. Also, some studies have reported high rates of complications and implant removal. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Guidance from the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (2009) concluded that current evidence on the safety and efficacy of sinus tarsi implant insertion for mobile flatfoot was inadequate in quality and quantity.¹⁶

American College of Foot and Ankle Surgeons

The ACFAS published practice guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of pediatric and adult flatfoot in 2004 and 2005 (neither is included in the ACFAS library of current clinical practice guidelines).^{17,18}

ACFAS guidelines on adult flatfoot have stated:

“In the adult, arthroereisis is seldom implemented as an isolated procedure. Because of the long-term compensation and adaptation of the foot and adjunctive structures for flatfoot function, other ancillary procedures are usually used for appropriate stabilization. Long-term results of arthroereisis in the adult flexible flatfoot patient have not been established. Some surgeons advise against the subtalar arthroereisis procedure because of the risks associated with implantation of a foreign material, the potential need for further surgery to remove the implant, and the limited capacity of the implant to stabilize the medial column sag directly.”

ACFAS guidelines on pediatric flatfoot have stated: “proponents of this procedure (arthroereisis) argue that it is a minimally invasive technique that does not distort the normal anatomy of the foot. Others have expressed concern about placing a permanent foreign body into a mobile segment of a child’s foot. The indication for this procedure remains controversial in the surgical community.”

U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations

Not applicable.

Medicare National Coverage

There is no national coverage determination (NCD). In the absence of an NCD, coverage decisions are left to the discretion of local Medicare carriers.

FEP 7.01.104 Subtalar Arthroereisis

REFERENCES

- Chong DY, Macwilliams BA, Hennessey TA, et al. Prospective comparison of subtalar arthroereisis with lateral column lengthening for painful flatfeet. *J Pediatr Orthop B*. Jul 2015;24(4):345-353. PMID 25856275
- Metcalfe SA, Bowling FL, Reeves ND. Subtalar joint arthroereisis in the management of pediatric flexible flatfoot: a critical review of the literature. *Foot Ankle Int*. Dec 2011;32(12):1127-1139. PMID 22381197
- Graham ME, Jawrani NT, Chikka A. Extraosseous talotarsal stabilization using HyProCure(R) in adults: a 5-year retrospective follow-up. *J Foot Ankle Surg*. Jan-Feb 2012;51(1):23-29. PMID 22196455
- Vedantam R, Capelli AM, Schoenecker PL. Subtalar arthroereisis for the correction of planovalgus foot in children with neuromuscular disorders. *J Pediatr Orthop*. May-Jun 1998;18(3):294-298. PMID 9600551
- Nelson SC, Haycock DM, Little ER. Flexible flatfoot treatment with arthroereisis: radiographic improvement and child health survey analysis. *J Foot Ankle Surg*. May-Jun 2004;43(3):144-155. PMID 15181430
- Needleman RL. A surgical approach for flexible flatfeet in adults including a subtalar arthroereisis with the MBA sinus tarsi implant. *Foot Ankle Int*. Jan 2006;27(1):9-18. PMID 16442023
- Cicchinelli LD, Pascual Huerta J, Garcia Carmona FJ, et al. Analysis of gastrocnemius recession and medial column procedures as adjuncts in arthroereisis for the correction of pediatric pes planovalgus: a radiographic retrospective study. *J Foot Ankle Surg*. Sep-Oct 2008;47(5):385-391. PMID 18725117
- Lucaccini C, Zambianchi N, Zanotti G. Distal osteotomy of the first metatarsal bone in association with sub-talar arthroereisis, for hallux valgus correction in abnormal pronation syndrome. *Chir Organi Mov*. Dec 2008;92(3):145-148. PMID 19082522
- Scharer BM, Black BE, Sockrider N. Treatment of painful pediatric flatfoot with Maxwell-Brancheau subtalar arthroereisis implant a retrospective radiographic review. *Foot Ankle Spec*. Apr 2010;3(2):67-72. PMID 20400415
- Brancheau SP, Walker KM, Northcutt DR. An analysis of outcomes after use of the Maxwell-Brancheau Arthroereisis implant. *J Foot Ankle Surg*. Jan-Feb 2012;51(1):3-8. PMID 22196453
- Bresnahan PJ, Chariton JT, Vedpathak A. Extraosseous talotarsal stabilization using HyProCure(R): preliminary clinical outcomes of a prospective case series. *J Foot Ankle Surg*. Mar-Apr 2013;52(2):195-202. PMID 23313499
- Scher DM, Bansal M, Handler-Matasar S, et al. Extensive implant reaction in failed subtalar joint arthroereisis: report of two cases. *HSS J*. Sep 2007;3(2):177-181. PMID 18751791
- Saxena A, Nguyen A. Preliminary radiographic findings and sizing implications on patients undergoing bioabsorbable subtalar arthroereisis. *J Foot Ankle Surg*. May-Jun 2007;46(3):175-180. PMID 17466243
- Cook EA, Cook JJ, Basile P. Identifying risk factors in subtalar arthroereisis explantation: a propensity-matched analysis. *J Foot Ankle Surg*. Jul-Aug 2011;50(4):395-401. PMID 21708340
- National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Sinus Tarsi Implant Insertion for Mobile Flatfoot [IPG305]. 2009; <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/IPG305>. Accessed March 7, 2018.
- Harris EJ, Vanore JV, Thomas JL, et al. Clinical Practice Guideline Pediatric Flatfoot Panel: American College of Foot and Ankle Surgeons (ACFAS). Diagnosis and treatment of pediatric flatfoot. *J Foot Ankle Surg*. Nov-Dec 2004;43(6):341-373. PMID 15605048
- Lee MS, Vanore JV, Thomas JL, et al. Clinical Practice Guideline Adult Flatfoot Panel: American College of Foot and Ankle Surgeons (ACFAS). Diagnosis and treatment of adult flatfoot. *J Foot Ankle Surg*. Mar-Apr 2005;44(2):78-113. PMID 15768358

POLICY HISTORY

Date	Action	Description
December 2012	New Policy	
December 2013	Update Policy	Policy update with literature review through August 2013. Policy statement and summary unchanged.
December 2017	Update Policy	Policy updated with literature review through June 22, 2017; no references added. Policy statement unchanged, but "not medically necessary" corrected to "investigational".
June 2018	Update Policy	Policy updated with literature review through February 5, 2018; no references added. Policy statement unchanged.

The policies contained in the FEP Medical Policy Manual are developed to assist in administering contractual benefits and do not constitute medical advice. They are not intended to replace or substitute for the independent medical judgment of a practitioner or other health care professional in the treatment of an individual member. The Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association does not intend by the FEP Medical Policy Manual, or by any particular medical policy, to recommend, advocate, encourage or discourage any particular medical technologies. Medical decisions relative to medical technologies are to be made strictly by members/patients in consultation with their health care providers. The conclusion that a particular service or supply is medically necessary does not constitute a representation or warranty that the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Service Benefit Plan covers (or pays for) this service or supply for a particular member.