

FEP 8.01.08 Intraoperative Radiotherapy

Effective Date: April 15, 2018

Related Policies:

8.01.13 Accelerated Breast Irradiation after Breast Conserving Surgery for Early Stage Breast Cancer and Breast Brachytherapy as Boost with Whole Breast Irradiation

Intraoperative Radiotherapy

Description

Intraoperative radiotherapy (IORT) is delivered directly to exposed tissues during surgery and may allow higher radiation doses by excluding nearby radiation dose-sensitive tissues. It can be delivered by electron beams produced by linear accelerators or high-dose rate brachytherapy.

FDA REGULATORY STATUS

The INTRABEAM® system was first approved for use by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for intracranial tumors in 1999 and was subsequently approved for whole body use in 2005. INTRABEAM® spherical applicators are indicated for use with the INTRABEAM® system to deliver a prescribed dose of radiation to the treatment margin or tumor bed during intracavity radiotherapy or IORT treatments. In 1998, the Mobetron® mobile electron beam accelerator, designed for use in the operating room, was cleared for marketing by the FDA through the 510(k) process.

This evidence review does not address the use of IORT for breast cancer (see review 8.01.13).

POLICY STATEMENT

Use of intraoperative radiotherapy may be considered **medically necessary** in the following situation:

- Rectal cancer with positive or close margins with T4 lesions or recurrent disease.

Use of intraoperative radiotherapy is considered **investigational** for all other oncologic applications.

BENEFIT APPLICATION

Experimental or investigational procedures, treatments, drugs, or devices are not covered (See General Exclusion Section of brochure).

RATIONALE

Summary of Evidence

For individuals who have rectal cancer who receive adjunctive IORT, the evidence includes an RCT, nonrandomized comparative studies, and systematic reviews of these studies. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, change in disease status, and treatment-related morbidity. Adjunctive use of IORT as part of a multimodal treatment could allow an increase in radiation dose without increasing complications. However, a phase 3 RCT and meta-analysis of IORT for locally

FEP 8.01.08 Intraoperative Radiotherapy

advanced rectal cancer did not find improved outcomes with IORT in combination with EBRT and surgery. Nonrandomized comparative studies and a meta-analysis of these studies have shown some benefit in health outcomes with adjunctive IORT for recurrent rectal cancer, but these studies are limited by a high risk of selection bias, heterogeneous patient populations, and heterogeneous delivery of other treatments. Large RCTs are needed to determine the effect of IORT with greater certainty. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have gastric cancer who receive adjunctive IORT, the evidence includes RCTs and a systematic review of RCTs. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, change in disease status, and treatment-related morbidity. A meta-analysis of 8 RCTs found a benefit of IORT in locoregional control (but not overall survival) when used with EBRT. When IORT was administered without adjuvant EBRT in patients with stage III disease, overall survival improved. Thus, IORT might be considered an alternative to EBRT in patients undergoing surgery for stage III gastric cancer.

Randomized studies comparing benefits and harms of the 2 treatments are needed to determine the efficacy of IORT with greater certainty. It cannot be determined whether IORT provides any benefit for overall survival in this patient population (gastric cancer patients) when used with EBRT. Further study is needed. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have soft tissue sarcomas who receive adjunctive IORT, the evidence includes a systematic review, a small RCT, and several nonrandomized comparative studies. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, change in disease status, and treatment-related morbidity. Overall, the study quality is low. The limited data suggest that IORT may improve local control and overall survival, but adverse events may outweigh any treatment benefit. RCTs are needed to determine the risks and benefits of IORT for soft tissue sarcomas with greater certainty. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have gynecologic cancers who receive adjunctive IORT, the evidence includes case series. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, change in disease status, and treatment-related morbidity. The contribution of adjuvant IORT cannot be determined from the available literature. There is no evidence that IORT improves survival rates, and there may be severe complications related to the therapy. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have head and neck cancers who receive adjunctive IORT, the evidence includes case series. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, change in disease status, and treatment-related morbidity. The strongest evidence is from a retrospective analysis of patients who had recurrent salivary gland carcinomas and were at risk of radiation toxicity due to prior treatment with EBRT. Some patients received IORT plus salvage surgery, and multivariate analysis found that use of IORT was a significant predictor of improved outcomes. Although these findings suggested an improvement in health outcomes for head and neck cancers that cannot be treated with EBRT due to toxicity, there was a high risk of selection bias in this study. Comparative trials are needed to determine the efficacy of IORT with greater certainty. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have pancreatic cancer who receive adjunctive IORT, the evidence includes large case series, cohort studies, and systematic reviews of these studies. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, change in disease status, and treatment-related morbidity. The systematic reviews found no evidence that IORT was more effective than other therapies in treating pancreatic cancer. No evidence was identified that evaluated outcomes when IORT was and was not added to multimodal therapy. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

FEP 8.01.08 Intraoperative Radiotherapy

For individuals who have renal cell carcinoma who receive adjunctive IORT, the evidence includes case series. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, change in disease status, and treatment-related morbidity. No controlled trials were identified to determine whether adjunctive IORT improves health outcomes when added to multimodal therapy with surgical resection and EBRT. Grade 3 or higher toxicity after IORT has been reported in a substantial percentage of patients. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have glioblastoma or neuroblastoma or fibromatosis who receive adjunctive IORT, the evidence includes case series. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, change in disease status, and treatment-related morbidity. Compared with other therapies, it is unclear whether IORT improves overall survival. However, compared with historical controls, IORT for patients with previously untreated malignant gliomas had no survival benefit when given in conjunction with multimodal therapy. In addition, complication rates may be high. Comparative trials are needed to evaluate the safety and efficacy of this treatment. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

National Comprehensive Cancer Network

Table 1 lists National Comprehensive Cancer Network recommendations on the use of intraoperative radiotherapy on the treatment of various cancers.

Table 1 Recommendations for the Use of IORT

Cancer Site	Version	Recommendation	COR
Cervical	v.1.2018 ³⁰	IORT “is particularly useful in patients with recurrent disease within a previously radiated volume. During IORT, overlying normal tissue (such as bowel or other viscera) can be manually displaced from the region at risk.”	3
Colon	v.2.2017 ³¹	IORT “may be considered for patients with T4 or recurrent cancers as an additional boost.”	2A
Gastric	v.5.2017 ³²	IORT is currently not recommended	NA
Head/neck	v.2.2017 ³³	IORT is not addressed	NA
Ovarian	v.3.2017 ³⁴	IORT is not addressed	NA
Pancreatic	v.3.2017 ³⁵	“The role of IORT for unresectable and resectable cases is controversial and should only be performed at specialized centers. It is sometimes used in cases where surgical resection may result in close or involved margins.” The guidelines conclude: “Overall, there is no clear established role for IORT in patients with pancreatic cancer, and the panel believes it should only be performed at specialized centers.”	NA
Rectal	v.3.2017 ³⁶	IORT “if available, may be considered for very close or positive margins after resection, as an additional boost, especially for patients with T4 or recurrent cancers.”	2A
Renal	v.1.2018 ³⁷	IORT is not addressed	NA
Soft tissue sarcoma	v.1.2018 ³⁸	For patients with resectable disease, surgery with or without IORT is the recommended primary treatment “10-12.5 Gy for microscopic residual disease” and “15 Gy for gross residual disease”.	2A
Uterine	v.1.2018 ³⁹	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For patients with “locoregional recurrence ... [and] prior RT to site of recurrence ... surgical exploration + resection ± IORT” may be considered. • For patients with “radiologically isolated vaginal/pelvic recurrence ... surgical exploration + resection ± IORT ± systemic therapy” may be considered. 	3

COR: category of recommendation; Gy: gray; IORT: intraoperative radiotherapy; NA: not applicable; RT: radiotherapy.

FEP 8.01.08 Intraoperative Radiotherapy

U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations

Not applicable.

Medicare National Coverage

There is no national coverage determination (NCD). In the absence of an NCD, coverage decisions are left to the discretion of local Medicare carriers.

REFERENCES

1. Dubois JB, Bussieres E, Richaud P, et al. Intra-operative radiotherapy of rectal cancer: results of the French multi-institutional randomized study. *Radiother Oncol*. Mar 2011;98(3):298-303. PMID 21339010
2. Wiig JN, Giercksky KE, Tveit KM. Intraoperative radiotherapy for locally advanced or locally recurrent rectal cancer: Does it work at all? *Acta Oncol*. Jul 2014;53(7):865-876. PMID 24678823
3. Mirnezami R, Chang GJ, Das P, et al. Intraoperative radiotherapy in colorectal cancer: systematic review and meta-analysis of techniques, long-term outcomes, and complications. *Surg Oncol*. Mar 2013;22(1):22-35. PMID 23270946
4. Zhang Q, Tey J, Yang Z, et al. Adjuvant chemoradiation plus intraoperative radiotherapy versus adjuvant chemoradiation alone in patients with locally advanced rectal cancer. *Am J Clin Oncol*. Feb 2015;38(1):11-16. PMID 25616201
5. Haddock MG, Miller RC, Nelson H, et al. Combined modality therapy including intraoperative electron irradiation for locally recurrent colorectal cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. Jan 1 2011;79(1):143-150. PMID 20395067
6. Yu WW, Guo YM, Zhang Q, et al. Benefits from adjuvant intraoperative radiotherapy treatment for gastric cancer: A meta-analysis. *Mol Clin Oncol*. Jan 2015;3(1):185-189. PMID 25469292
7. Skandarajah AR, Lynch AC, Mackay JR, et al. The role of intraoperative radiotherapy in solid tumors. *Ann Surg Oncol*. Mar 2009;16(3):735-744. PMID 19142683
8. Sindelar WF, Kinsella TJ, Chen PW, et al. Intraoperative radiotherapy in retroperitoneal sarcomas. Final results of a prospective, randomized, clinical trial. *Arch Surg*. Apr 1993;128(4):402-410. PMID 8457152
9. Lehnert T, Schwarzbach M, Willeke F, et al. Intraoperative radiotherapy for primary and locally recurrent soft tissue sarcoma: morbidity and long-term prognosis. *Eur J Surg Oncol*. Nov 2000;26 Suppl A:S21-24. PMID 11130875
10. Calvo FA, Sole CV, Polo A, et al. Limb-sparing management with surgical resection, external-beam and intraoperative electron-beam radiation therapy boost for patients with primary soft tissue sarcoma of the extremity: a multicentric pooled analysis of long-term outcomes. *Strahlenther Onkol*. Oct 2014;190(10):891-898. PMID 24715241
11. Stucky CC, Wasif N, Ashman JB, et al. Excellent local control with preoperative radiation therapy, surgical resection, and intra-operative electron radiation therapy for retroperitoneal sarcoma. *J Surg Oncol*. Jun 2014;109(8):798-803. PMID 24862926
12. Giorda G, Boz G, Gadducci A, et al. Multimodality approach in extra cervical locally advanced cervical cancer: chemoradiation, surgery and intra-operative radiation therapy. A phase II trial. *Eur J Surg Oncol*. May 2011;37(5):442-447. PMID 21492777
13. Martinez-Monge R, Jurado M, Aristu JJ, et al. Intraoperative electron beam radiotherapy during radical surgery for locally advanced and recurrent cervical cancer. *Gynecol Oncol*. Sep 2001;82(3):538-543. PMID 11520152
14. Gao Y, Liu Z, Chen X, et al. Intraoperative radiotherapy electron boost in advanced and recurrent epithelial ovarian carcinoma: a retrospective study. *BMC Cancer*. Oct 11 2011;11:439. PMID 21989202
15. Chen AM, Garcia J, Bucci MK, et al. Recurrent salivary gland carcinomas treated by surgery with or without intraoperative radiation therapy. *Head Neck*. Jan 2008;30(1):2-9. PMID 17828788
16. Chen AM, Bucci MK, Singer MI, et al. Intraoperative radiation therapy for recurrent head-and-neck cancer: the UCSF experience. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. Jan 1 2007;67(1):122-129. PMID 17084543
17. Zeidan YH, Yeh A, Weed D, et al. Intraoperative radiation therapy for advanced cervical metastasis: a single institution experience. *Radiat Oncol*. Jun 15 2011;6:72. PMID 21676211
18. Zeidan YH, Shiue K, Weed D, et al. Intraoperative radiotherapy for parotid cancer: a single-institution experience. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. Apr 1 2012;82(5):1831-1836. PMID 21514074
19. Perry DJ, Chan K, Wolden S, et al. High-dose-rate intraoperative radiation therapy for recurrent head-and-neck cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. Mar 15 2010;76(4):1140-1146. PMID 19560882

FEP 8.01.08 Intraoperative Radiotherapy

20. Zygogianni GA, Kyrgias G, Kouvaris J, et al. Intraoperative radiation therapy on pancreatic cancer patients: a review of the literature. *Minerva Chir.* Aug 2011;66(4):361-369. PMID 21873971
21. Ruano-Ravina A, Almazan Ortega R, Guedea F. Intraoperative radiotherapy in pancreatic cancer: a systematic review. *Radiother Oncol.* Jun 2008;87(3):318-325. PMID 18199514
22. Jingu K, Tanabe T, Nemoto K, et al. Intraoperative radiotherapy for pancreatic cancer: 30-year experience in a single institution in Japan. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* Jul 15 2012;83(4):e507-511. PMID 22445002
23. Ogawa K, Karasawa K, Ito Y, et al. Intraoperative radiotherapy for resected pancreatic cancer: a multi-institutional retrospective analysis of 210 patients. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* Jul 1 2010;77(3):734-742. PMID 20207498
24. Paly JJ, Hallemeier CL, Biggs PJ, et al. Outcomes in a multi-institutional cohort of patients treated with intraoperative radiation therapy for advanced or recurrent renal cell carcinoma. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* Mar 1 2014;88(3):618-623. PMID 24411190
25. Calvo FA, Sole CV, Martinez-Monge R, et al. Intraoperative EBRT and resection for renal cell carcinoma : twenty-year outcomes. *Strahlenther Onkol.* Feb 2013;189(2):129-136. PMID 23223810
26. Hallemeier CL, Choo R, Davis BJ, et al. Long-term outcomes after maximal surgical resection and intraoperative electron radiotherapy for locoregionally recurrent or locoregionally advanced primary renal cell carcinoma. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* Apr 1 2012;82(5):1938-1943. PMID 21514065
27. Nemoto K, Ogawa Y, Matsushita H, et al. Intraoperative radiation therapy (IORT) for previously untreated malignant gliomas. *BMC Cancer.* Jan 2002;2:1. PMID 11818027
28. Rich BS, McEvoy MP, LaQuaglia MP, et al. Local control, survival, and operative morbidity and mortality after resection, and intraoperative radiation therapy for recurrent or persistent primary high-risk neuroblastoma. *J Pediatr Surg.* Jan 2011;46(1):97-102. PMID 21238648
29. Roeder F, Timke C, Oertel S, et al. Intraoperative electron radiotherapy for the management of aggressive fibromatosis. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* Mar 15 2010;76(4):1154-1160. PMID 19647952
30. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology: Cervical cancer. Version 1.2018. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/cervical.pdf. Accessed November 8, 2017.
31. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology: Colon cancer. Version 2.2017. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/colon.pdf. Accessed November 8, 2017.
32. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology: Gastric cancer. Version 5.2017. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/gastric.pdf. Accessed November 8, 2017.
33. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology: Head and neck cancers. Version 2.2017. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/head-and-neck.pdf. Accessed November 8, 2017.
34. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology: Ovarian cancer. Version 3.2017. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/ovarian.pdf. Accessed November 8, 2017.
35. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology: Pancreatic adenocarcinoma. Version 3.2017. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/pancreatic.pdf. Accessed November 8, 2017.
36. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology: Rectal cancer. Version 3.2017 http://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/rectal.pdf. Accessed November 8, 2017.
37. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology: Kidney cancer. Version 1.2018. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/kidney.pdf. Accessed November 8, 2017.
38. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology: Soft tissue sarcoma. Version 1.2018. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/sarcoma.pdf. Accessed November 8, 2017.
39. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology: Uterine neoplasms. Version 1.2108. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/uterine.pdf. Accessed November 8, 2017.

FEP 8.01.08 Intraoperative Radiotherapy

POLICY HISTORY

Date	Action	Description
December 2011	New Policy	
December 2012	Update Policy	Policy updated with literature search, references 2, 8, 13, 17-18 added, FDA approved device- "investigational" changed to "not medically necessary" in policy statement.
December 2013	Update Policy	Policy updated with literature search through July 2013; references 5, 7, 8, 20 and 26 added, policy statements unchanged.
December 2014	Update Policy	Policy updated with literature review, references 2, 9, 27 and 29 added, policy statements unchanged.
December 2015	Update Policy	Policy updated with literature review through July 8, 2015; references 8 and 10 added. Policy statements unchanged.
March 2108	Update Policy	Policy updated with literature review through October 25, 2017; references 1, 4, 8-9, 14 and 30-39 added/updated. Policy statements unchanged except statement regarding other applications corrected from "not medically necessary" to "investigational" based on FDA 510k approval.

The policies contained in the FEP Medical Policy Manual are developed to assist in administering contractual benefits and do not constitute medical advice. They are not intended to replace or substitute for the independent medical judgment of a practitioner or other health care professional in the treatment of an individual member. The Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association does not intend by the FEP Medical Policy Manual, or by any particular medical policy, to recommend, advocate, encourage or discourage any particular medical technologies. Medical decisions relative to medical technologies are to be made strictly by members/patients in consultation with their health care providers. The conclusion that a particular service or supply is medically necessary does not constitute a representation or warranty that the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Service Benefit Plan covers (or pays for) this service or supply for a particular member.