

FEP 2.04.103 Genetic Testing for Macular Degeneration

Effective Date: July 15, 2018

Related Policies:

9.03.20 Intraocular Radiation Therapy for Age-Related Macular Degeneration

Genetic Testing for Macular Degeneration

Description

Age-related macular degeneration (AMD) is a complex disease involving both genetic and environmental influences. Testing for variants at certain genetic loci has been proposed to predict the risk of developing advanced AMD. AMD is divided into the dry form, associated with slowly progressive vision loss, and the wet form, which may be associated with rapidly progressive and severe vision loss. The risks of AMD and of developing the wet form are associated with genetic and nongenetic (eg, age, smoking) factors.

FDA REGULATORY STATUS

Clinical laboratories may develop and validate tests in-house and market them as a laboratory service; laboratory-developed tests (LDTs) must meet the general regulatory standards of the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments (CLIA). Exome or genome sequencing tests as a clinical service are available under the auspices of CLIA. Laboratories that offer LDTs must be licensed by CLIA for high-complexity testing. To date, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration has chosen not to require any regulatory review of this test.

POLICY STATEMENT

Genetic testing for macular degeneration is considered **investigational**.

POLICY GUIDELINES

Genetics Nomenclature Update

The Human Genome Variation Society nomenclature is used to report information on variants found in DNA and serves as an international standard in DNA diagnostics. It is being implemented for genetic testing medical evidence review updates starting in 2017 (see Table PG1). The Society's nomenclature is recommended by the Human Variome Project, the HUMAN Genome Organization, and by the Human Genome Variation Society itself.

The American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology standards and guidelines for interpretation of sequence variants represent expert opinion from both organizations, in addition to the College of American Pathologists. These recommendations primarily apply to genetic tests used in clinical laboratories, including genotyping, single genes, panels, exomes, and genomes. Table PG2 shows the recommended standard terminology—"pathogenic," "likely pathogenic," "uncertain significance," "likely benign," and "benign"—to describe variants identified that cause Mendelian disorders.

FEP 2.04.103 Genetic Testing for Macular Degeneration

Table PG1. Nomenclature to Report on Variants Found in DNA

Previous	Updated	Definition
Mutation	Disease-associated variant	Disease-associated change in the DNA sequence
	Variant	Change in the DNA sequence
	Familial variant	Disease-associated variant identified in a proband for use in subsequent targeted genetic testing in first-degree relatives

Table PG2. ACMG-AMP Standards and Guidelines for Variant Classification

Variant Classification	Definition
Pathogenic	Disease-causing change in the DNA sequence
Likely pathogenic	Likely disease-causing change in the DNA sequence
Variant of uncertain significance	Change in DNA sequence with uncertain effects on disease
Likely benign	Likely benign change in the DNA sequence
Benign	Benign change in the DNA sequence

ACMG: American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics; AMP: Association for Molecular Pathology.

GENETIC COUNSELING

Genetic counseling is primarily aimed at patients who are at risk for inherited disorders, and experts recommend formal genetic counseling in most cases when genetic testing for an inherited condition is considered. The interpretation of the results of genetic tests and the understanding of risk factors can be very difficult and complex. Therefore, genetic counseling will assist individuals in understanding the possible benefits and harms of genetic testing, including the possible impact of the information on the individual's family. Genetic counseling may alter the utilization of genetic testing substantially and may reduce inappropriate testing. Genetic counseling should be performed by an individual with experience and expertise in genetic medicine and genetic testing methods.

BENEFIT APPLICATION

Screening (other than the preventive services listed in the brochure) is not covered. Please see Section 6 General exclusions.

Benefits are available for specialized diagnostic genetic testing when it is medically necessary to diagnose and/or manage a patient's existing medical condition. Benefits are not provided for genetic panels when some or all of the tests included in the panel are not covered, are experimental or investigational, or are not medically necessary.

Experimental or investigational procedures, treatments, drugs, or devices are not covered (See General Exclusion Section of brochure).

RATIONALE

Summary of Evidence

For individuals who have AMD who receive genetic testing for AMD, the evidence includes genetic association studies and risk-prediction models. Relevant outcomes are test accuracy, change in disease status, and functional outcomes. The clinical utility of genetic testing in patients who have AMD is limited, in that genetic testing has not been shown to be superior to clinical evaluation in determining the risk of progression of disease. In addition, there is no known association with specific genotypes and specific therapies. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

FEP 2.04.103 Genetic Testing for Macular Degeneration

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

American Academy of Ophthalmology

The 2014 American Academy of Ophthalmology recommendations specific to genetic testing for complex eye disorders like age-related macular degeneration (AMD) have indicated that the presence of any one of the disease-associated variants is not highly predictive of disease development.¹⁵ The Academy found that, in many cases, standard clinical diagnostic methods like biomicroscopy, ophthalmoscopy, tonography, and perimetry would be more accurate for assessing a patient's risk of vision loss from a complex disease than the assessment of a small number of genetic loci. The Academy concluded that genetic testing for complex diseases will become relevant to the routine practice of medicine when clinical trials demonstrate that patients with specific genotypes benefit from specific types of therapy or surveillance; until such benefit can be demonstrated, routine genetic testing of patients with complex eye diseases, or unaffected patients with a family history of such diseases, is not warranted.

American Society of Retina Specialists

The American Society of Retina Specialists (2017) published special correspondence on the use of genetic testing in the management of patients with AMD.¹⁶ The Society concluded that:

- While AMD genetic testing may provide information on progression from intermediate to advanced AMD, there is no clinical evidence that altering management of genetically higher risk progression patients results in better visual outcomes compared with patients lower risk progression patients.
- AMD genetic testing in patients with neovascular AMD does not provide clinically relevant information regarding response to anti-vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) treatment and is therefore not recommended for this population.
- Currently, there is insufficient evidence to support the use of genetic testing in patients with AMD in regard to nutritional supplement recommendations.

U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations

Not applicable.

Medicare National Coverage

There is no national coverage determination (NCD). In the absence of an NCD, coverage decisions are left to the discretion of local Medicare carriers.

REFERENCES

1. Age-Related Eye Disease Study Research Group. A randomized, placebo-controlled, clinical trial of high-dose supplementation with vitamins C and E, beta carotene, and zinc for age-related macular degeneration and vision loss: AREDS report no. 8. *Arch Ophthalmol*. Oct 2001;119(10):1417-1436. PMID 11594942
2. Gorin MB. Genetic insights into age-related macular degeneration: controversies addressing risk, causality, and therapeutics. *Mol Aspects Med*. Aug 2012;33(4):467-486. PMID 22561651
3. Lim LS, Mitchell P, Seddon JM, et al. Age-related macular degeneration. *Lancet*. May 5 2012;379(9827):1728-1738. PMID 22559899
4. Kim IK. Genetic testing for AMD inches forward. 2012; <http://www.revophth.com/content/d/retina/c/35327/>. Accessed January 17, 2018.
5. Hageman GS, Gehrs K, Lejnine S, et al. Clinical validation of a genetic model to estimate the risk of developing choroidal neovascular age-related macular degeneration. *Hum Genomics*. Jul 2011;5(5):420-440. PMID 21807600
6. Jakobsdottir J, Gorin MB, Conley YP, et al. Interpretation of genetic association studies: markers with replicated highly significant odds ratios may be poor classifiers. *PLoS Genet*. Feb 2009;5(2):e1000337. PMID 19197355

FEP 2.04.103 Genetic Testing for Macular Degeneration

7. Seddon JM, Reynolds R, Maller J, et al. Prediction model for prevalence and incidence of advanced age-related macular degeneration based on genetic, demographic, and environmental variables. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. May 2009;50(5):2044-2053. PMID 19117936
8. Seddon JM, Silver RE, Kwong M, et al. Risk prediction for progression of macular degeneration: 10 common and rare genetic variants, demographic, environmental, and macular covariates. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. Apr 2015;56(4):2192-2202. PMID 25655794
9. Klein ML, Francis PJ, Ferris FL, 3rd, et al. Risk assessment model for development of advanced age-related macular degeneration. *Arch Ophthalmol*. Dec 2011;129(12):1543-1550. PMID 21825180
10. Fauser S, Lambrou GN. Genetic predictive biomarkers of anti-VEGF treatment response in patients with neovascular age-related macular degeneration. *Surv Ophthalmol*. Mar-Apr 2015;60(2):138-152. PMID 25596882
11. Chew EY, Klein ML, Clemons TE, et al. No clinically significant association between CFH and ARMS2 genotypes and response to nutritional supplements: AREDS report number 38. *Ophthalmology*. Nov 2014;121(11):2173-2180. PMID 24974817
12. Hagstrom SA, Ying GS, Maguire MG, et al. Gene polymorphisms and response to anti-vascular endothelial growth factor therapy in age-related macular degeneration. *Ophthalmology*. Aug 2015;122(8):1563-1568. PMID 26028346
13. Hagstrom SA, Ying GS, Pauer GJ, et al. VEGFA and VEGFR2 gene polymorphisms and response to anti-vascular endothelial growth factor therapy: comparison of age-related macular degeneration treatments trials (CATT). *JAMA Ophthalmol*. May 2014;132(5):521-527. PMID 24652518
14. Awh CC, Lane AM, Hawken S, et al. CFH and ARMS2 genetic polymorphisms predict response to antioxidants and zinc in patients with age-related macular degeneration. *Ophthalmology*. Nov 2013;120(11):2317-2323. PMID 23972322
15. Stone EM, Aldave AJ, Drack AV, et al. Recommendations of the American Academy of Ophthalmology Task Force on Genetic Testing. 2014; <https://www.aao.org/clinical-statement/recommendations-genetic-testing-of-inherited-eye-d>. Accessed January 17, 2018.
16. Csaky KG SA, Kaiser PK, et al. The Use of Genetic Testing in the Management of Patients with Age-Related Macular Degeneration: American Society of Retina Specialists Genetics Task Force Special Report. 2017; <https://www.asrs.org/content/documents/articleasrstagforcereportjvrd117.pdf>. Accessed January 29, 2018.

POLICY HISTORY

Date	Action	Description
June 2015	New Policy	
September 2016	Update Policy	Policy updated with literature review, references 9 and 11-13 added. Policy statement unchanged.
March 2017	Update Policy	Policy updated with literature review through January 25, 2017; no references added. ARUP test reference removed. The policy is revised with updated genetics nomenclature. Policy statement unchanged.
June 2018	Update Policy	Policy updated with literature review through January 8, 2018; reference 16 was added. Policy statement unchanged. Summary of Evidence edited to reflect Benefit Application information of "existing medical condition".

The policies contained in the FEP Medical Policy Manual are developed to assist in administering contractual benefits and do not constitute medical advice. They are not intended to replace or substitute for the independent medical judgment of a practitioner or other health care professional in the treatment of an individual member. The Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association does not intend by the FEP Medical Policy Manual, or by any particular medical policy, to recommend, advocate, encourage or discourage any particular medical technologies. Medical decisions relative to medical technologies are to be made strictly by members/patients in consultation with their health care providers. The conclusion that a particular service or supply is medically necessary does not constitute a representation or warranty that the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Service Benefit Plan covers (or pays for) this service or supply for a particular member.