

FEP 2.04.105 Genetic Testing for Facioscapulohumeral Muscular Dystrophy

Effective Date: July 15, 2018

Related Policies:

2.04.86 Genetic Testing for Duchenne and Becker Muscular Dystrophy

Genetic Testing for Facioscapulohumeral Muscular Dystrophy

Description

Facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy (FSHD) is an autosomal dominant disease that typically presents before the age of 20 years with the weakness of the facial muscles and the scapular stabilizer muscles. The usual clinical course is a slowly progressive weakness, although the severity is highly variable, and atypical presentations occur. Genetic testing for FSHD has been evaluated as a tool to confirm the diagnosis.

FDA REGULATORY STATUS

Clinical laboratories may develop and validate tests in-house and market them as a laboratory service; laboratory-developed tests (LDTs) must meet the general regulatory standards of the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments (CLIA). Genetic testing for FSHD is available under the auspices of the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments. Exome or genome sequencing tests as a clinical service are available under the auspices of CLIA. Laboratories that offer LDTs must be licensed by CLIA for high-complexity testing. To date, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration has chosen not to require any regulatory review of this test.

POLICY STATEMENT

Genetic testing for facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy may be considered **medically necessary** to confirm a diagnosis in a patient with clinical signs of the disease (see the Policy Guidelines section).

Genetic testing for facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy is considered **investigational** for all other indications.

POLICY GUIDELINES

Facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy (FSHD) is typically suspected in an individual with the following: weakness that predominantly involves the facial, scapular stabilizer, and foot dorsiflexor muscles without associated ocular or bulbar muscle weakness, and age of onset usually by 20 years (although mildly affected individuals show signs at a later age, and some remain asymptomatic).

Testing Strategy

Because 95% of cases of FSHD are FSHD type 1 (FSHD1), genetic testing for FSHD should begin with testing for contraction in the macrosatellite repeat D4Z4 on chromosome 4q35 using Southern blot analysis. Depending on the index of suspicion for FSHD, if FSHD1 testing is negative, testing for FSHD2, including D4Z4 methylation analysis and testing of the *SMCHD1* gene, could be considered.

Original Policy Date: December 2013

Page: 1

FEP 2.04.105 Genetic Testing for Facioscapulohumeral Muscular Dystrophy

Genetics Nomenclature Update

The Human Genome Variation Society nomenclature is used to report information on variants found in DNA and serves as an international standard in DNA diagnostics. It is being implemented for genetic testing medical evidence review updates starting in 2017 (see Table PG1). The Society's nomenclature is recommended by the Human Variome Project, the HUman Genome Organization, and by the Human Genome Variation Society itself.

The American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology standards and guidelines for interpretation of sequence variants represent expert opinion from both organizations, in addition to the College of American Pathologists. These recommendations primarily apply to genetic tests used in clinical laboratories, including genotyping, single genes, panels, exomes, and genomes. Table PG2 shows the recommended standard terminology—"pathogenic," "likely pathogenic," "uncertain significance," "likely benign," and "benign"—to describe variants identified that cause Mendelian disorders.

Table PG1. Nomenclature to Report on Variants Found in DNA

Previous	Updated	Definition
Mutation	Disease-associated variant	Disease-associated change in the DNA sequence
	Variant	Change in the DNA sequence
	Familial variant	Disease-associated variant identified in a proband for use in subsequent targeted genetic testing in first-degree relatives

Table PG2. ACMG-AMP Standards and Guidelines for Variant Classification

Variant Classification	Definition
Pathogenic	Disease-causing change in the DNA sequence
Likely pathogenic	Likely disease-causing change in the DNA sequence
Variant of uncertain significance	Change in DNA sequence with uncertain effects on disease
Likely benign	Likely benign change in the DNA sequence
Benign	Benign change in the DNA sequence

American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics; AMP: Association for Molecular Pathology.

GENETIC COUNSELING

Genetic counseling is primarily aimed at patients who are at risk for inherited disorders, and experts recommend formal genetic counseling in most cases when genetic testing for an inherited condition is considered. The interpretation of the results of genetic tests and the understanding of risk factors can be very difficult and complex. Therefore, genetic counseling will assist individuals in understanding the possible benefits and harms of genetic testing, including the possible impact of the information on the individual's family. Genetic counseling may alter the utilization of genetic testing substantially and may reduce inappropriate testing. Genetic counseling should be performed by an individual with experience and expertise in genetic medicine and genetic testing methods.

BENEFIT APPLICATION

Screening (other than the preventive services listed in the brochure) is not covered. Please see Section 6 General exclusions.

Benefits are available for specialized diagnostic genetic testing when it is medically necessary to diagnose and/or manage a patient's existing medical condition. Benefits are not provided for genetic panels when some or all of the tests included in the panel are not covered, are experimental or investigational, or are not medically necessary.

Experimental or investigational procedures, treatments, drugs, or devices are not covered (See General Exclusion Section of brochure).

FEP 2.04.105 Genetic Testing for Facioscapulohumeral Muscular Dystrophy

RATIONALE

Summary of Evidence

For individuals who have clinical signs of FSHD who receive genetic testing for FSHD, the evidence supporting improved outcomes is generally lacking. Relevant outcomes are test accuracy and validity, morbid events, functional outcomes, quality of life, and resource utilization. Test accuracy and validity have been reported to be high. A definitive diagnosis may end the need for additional testing in the etiologic workup, avoid the need for a muscle biopsy, and initiate and direct clinical management changes that can result in improved health outcomes. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

In a report from the 171st European Neuromuscular Centre international workshop standards of care and management of facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy held in 2010, it was stated that when a physician suspects facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy based on clinical findings, the odds favor a diagnosis of facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy, and genetic testing is the preferred diagnostic choice.¹³

U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations

Not applicable.

Medicare National Coverage

There is no national coverage determination (NCD). In the absence of an NCD, coverage decisions are left to the discretion of local Medicare carriers.

REFERENCES

1. van der Maarel SM, Tawil R, Tapscott SJ. Facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy and DUX4: breaking the silence. *Trends Mol Med*. May 2011;17(5):252-258. PMID 21288772
2. Menezes MP, North KN. Inherited neuromuscular disorders: pathway to diagnosis. *J Paediatr Child Health*. Jun 2012;48(6):458-465. PMID 22050238
3. Lemmers RJLF, Miller DG, van der Maarel SM. Facioscapulohumeral Muscular Dystrophy. *GeneReviews*. 1993 (updated 2014). PMID 20301616
4. Pastorello E, Cao M, Trevisan CP. Atypical onset in a series of 122 cases with facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg*. Apr 2012;114(3):230-234. PMID 22079131
5. Hassan A, Jones LK, Jr., Milone M, et al. Focal and other unusual presentations of facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy. *Muscle Nerve*. Sep 2012;46(3):421-425. PMID 22907234
6. Lemmers RJ, Tawil R, Petek LM, et al. Digenic inheritance of an SMCHD1 mutation and an FSHD-permissive D4Z4 allele causes facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy type 2. *Nat Genet*. Dec 2012;44(12):1370-1374. PMID 23143600
7. Sacconi S, Lemmers RJ, Balog J, et al. The FSHD2 gene SMCHD1 is a modifier of disease severity in families affected by FSHD1. *Am J Hum Genet*. Oct 3 2013;93(4):744-751. PMID 24075187
8. Lemmers RJLF, Miller DG, van der Maarel SM. Facioscapulohumeral Muscular Dystrophy. In: Adam MP, Ardinger HH, Pagon RA, et al., eds. *GeneReviews*. Seattle, WA: University of Washington; 2014.
9. Ricci G, Scionti I, Sera F, et al. Large scale genotype-phenotype analyses indicate that novel prognostic tools are required for families with facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy. *Brain*. Nov 2013;136(Pt 11):3408-3417. PMID 24030947
10. Lutz KL, Holte L, Kliethermes SA, et al. Clinical and genetic features of hearing loss in facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy. *Neurology*. Oct 15 2013;81(16):1374-1377. PMID 24042093
11. Statland JM, Tawil R. Risk of functional impairment in facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy. *Muscle Nerve*. Apr 2014;49(4):520-527. PMID 23873337

FEP 2.04.105 Genetic Testing for Facioscapulohumeral Muscular Dystrophy

12. Tawil R, van der Maarel S, Padberg GW, et al. 171st ENMC international workshop: Standards of care and management of facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy. *Neuromuscul Disord.* Jul 2010;20(7):471-475. PMID 20554202
13. Lemmers RJ, O'Shea S, Padberg GW, et al. Best practice guidelines on genetic diagnostics of facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy: workshop 9th June 2010, LUMC, Leiden, The Netherlands. *Neuromuscul Disord.* May 2012;22(5):463-470. PMID 22177830

POLICY HISTORY

Date	Action	Description
December 2013	New Policy	Genetic testing for facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy may be considered medically necessary to confirm a diagnosis in a patient with clinical signs of the disease, but is considered investigational for all other indications.
December 2014	Update Policy	Policy updated with literature review. References 6-9, and 12-14 added. Policy statements unchanged.
March 2017	Update Policy	Policy updated with literature review through January 20, 2017; no references added. The policy is revised with updated genetics nomenclature. Policy statements unchanged.
June 2018	Update Policy	Policy updated with literature review through December 11, 2017; reference 8 added. Policy statements unchanged.

The policies contained in the FEP Medical Policy Manual are developed to assist in administering contractual benefits and do not constitute medical advice. They are not intended to replace or substitute for the independent medical judgment of a practitioner or other health care professional in the treatment of an individual member. The Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association does not intend by the FEP Medical Policy Manual, or by any particular medical policy, to recommend, advocate, encourage or discourage any particular medical technologies. Medical decisions relative to medical technologies are to be made strictly by members/patients in consultation with their health care providers. The conclusion that a particular service or supply is medically necessary does not constitute a representation or warranty that the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Service Benefit Plan covers (or pays for) this service or supply for a particular member.