

FEP 9.03.29 Eyelid Thermal Pulsation for the Treatment of Dry Eye Syndrome

Effective Date: July 15, 2018

Related Policies: None

Eyelid Thermal Pulsation for the Treatment of Dry Eye Syndrome

Description

The LipiFlow Thermal Pulsation System is a treatment option for meibomian gland dysfunction. Meibomian gland dysfunction is recognized as the major cause of dry eye syndrome. The LipiFlow System applies heat to the palpebral surfaces of the upper and lower eyelids directly over the meibomian glands, while simultaneously applying graded pulsatile pressure to the outer eyelid surfaces, thereby expressing the meibomian glands.

FDA REGULATORY STATUS

In 2011, the LipiFlow® Thermal Pulsation System (TearScience; assigned the generic name of eyelid thermal pulsation system) was cleared by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) (K093937).⁶ FDA classified the LipiFlow® System as class II (special controls) to provide a “reasonable assurance of safety and effectiveness” of the device. The LipiFlow® System was identified by FDA “as an electrically powered device intended for use in the application of localized heat and pressure therapy to the eyelids. The device is used in adult patients with chronic cystic conditions of the eyelids, including meibomian gland dysfunction (MGD), also known as evaporative dry eye or lipid deficiency dry eye.” FDA product code: ORZ.

POLICY STATEMENT

Eyelid thermal pulsation therapy to treat dry eye syndrome is considered **investigational**.

BENEFIT APPLICATION

Experimental or investigational procedures, treatments, drugs, or devices are not covered (See General Exclusion Section of brochure).

RATIONALE

Summary of Evidence

For individuals who have dry eye symptoms consistent with meibomian gland dysfunction who receive eyelid thermal pulsation, the evidence includes 3 RCTs, a nonrandomized comparison study, and longer term follow-up of patients from RCTs and observational studies. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, morbid events, and functional outcomes. The trials do not provide strong evidence of long-term efficacy. Two RCTs have demonstrated positive findings for most outcome measures over the short term (up to 3 months). Observational studies have shown sustained treatment effects for most outcomes up to 3 years.

FEP 9.03.29 Eyelid Thermal Pulsation for the Treatment of Dry Eye Syndrome

The nonrandomized study showed similar outcomes for eyelid thermal pulsation and standard treatment. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

In 2013, the American Academy of Ophthalmology published preferred practice patterns guidelines on dry eye syndrome.³ A number of treatment options were recommended. The use of thermal pulsation treatment devices was not mentioned.

U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations

Not applicable.

Medicare National Coverage

There is no national coverage determination (NCD). In the absence of an NCD, coverage decisions are left to the discretion of local Medicare carriers.

REFERENCES

1. Fiscella RG. Understanding dry eye disease: a managed care perspective. *Am J Manag Care*. Dec 2011;17(Suppl 16):S432-439. PMID 22435675
2. The definition and classification of dry eye disease: report of the Definition and Classification Subcommittee of the International Dry Eye WorkShop (2007). *Ocul Surf*. Apr 2007;5(2):75-92. PMID 17508116
3. American Academy of Ophthalmology Cornea/External Disease Panel. *Preferred Practice Pattern Guidelines. Dry Eye Syndrome*. San Francisco, CA: American Academy of Ophthalmology; 2013.
4. Nichols KK, Foulks GN, Bron AJ, et al. The international workshop on meibomian gland dysfunction: executive summary. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. Mar 2011;52(4):1922-1929. PMID 21450913
5. Blackie CA, Korb DR, Knop E, et al. Nonobvious obstructive meibomian gland dysfunction. *Cornea*. Dec 2010;29(12):1333-1345. PMID 20847669
6. Medical devices; ophthalmic devices; classification of the eyelid thermal pulsation system. Final rule. *Fed Regist*. Aug 19 2011;76(161):51876-51878. PMID 21894651
7. Lane SS, DuBiner HB, Epstein RJ, et al. A new system, the LipiFlow, for the treatment of meibomian gland dysfunction. *Cornea*. Apr 2012;31(4):396-404. PMID 22222996
8. Finis D, Hayajneh J, Konig C, et al. Evaluation of an automated thermodynamic treatment (LipiFlow(R)) system for meibomian gland dysfunction: a prospective, randomized, observer-masked trial. *Ocul Surf*. Apr 2014;12(2):146-154. PMID 24725326
9. Blackie CA, Coleman CA, Holland EJ. The sustained effect (12 months) of a single-dose vectored thermal pulsation procedure for meibomian gland dysfunction and evaporative dry eye. *Clin Ophthalmol*. Jul 26 2016;10:1385-1396. PMID 27555745
10. Zhao Y, Veerappan A, Yeo S, et al. Clinical trial of thermal pulsation (Lipiflow) in meibomian gland dysfunction with pretreatment meibography. *Eye Contact Lens*. Nov 2016;42(6):339-346. PMID 26825281
11. Greiner JV. Long-term (12-month) improvement in meibomian gland function and reduced dry eye symptoms with a single thermal pulsation treatment. *Clin Experiment Ophthalmol*. Aug 2013;41(6):524-530. PMID 23145471
12. Finis D, Konig C, Hayajneh J, et al. Six-month effects of a thermodynamic treatment for MGD and implications of meibomian gland atrophy. *Cornea*. Dec 2014;33(12):1265-1270. PMID 25321941
13. Greiner JV. Effects of a single thermal pulsation system treatment on meibomian gland function and dry eye symptoms. *Eye Contact Lens*. Mar 2016;42(2):99-107. PMID 26222095
14. Miller KL, Walt JG, Mink DR, et al. Minimal clinically important difference for the Ocular Surface Disease Index. *Arch Ophthalmol*. Jan 2010;128(1):94-101. PMID 20065224
15. Ngo W, Situ P, Keir N, et al. Psychometric properties and validation of the Standard Patient Evaluation of Eye Dryness questionnaire. *Cornea*. Sep 2013;32(9):1204-1210. PMID 23846405

FEP 9.03.29 Eyelid Thermal Pulsation for the Treatment of Dry Eye Syndrome

POLICY HISTORY

Date	Action	Description
June 2013	New Policy	
June 2014	Update Policy	Policy updated with literature review, references 9-11 added. The policy statement is unchanged.
June 2015	Update Policy	Policy updated with literature review, Rationale revised: references 10-11 added; policy statement unchanged.
September 2016	Update Policy	Policy updated with literature review, reference 8 added. Policy statement unchanged.
June 2018	Update Policy	Policy updated with literature review through January 8, 2018; no references added. Policy statement unchanged except "not medically necessary" corrected to "investigational" due to FDA Class II status.

The policies contained in the FEP Medical Policy Manual are developed to assist in administering contractual benefits and do not constitute medical advice. They are not intended to replace or substitute for the independent medical judgment of a practitioner or other health care professional in the treatment of an individual member. The Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association does not intend by the FEP Medical Policy Manual, or by any particular medical policy, to recommend, advocate, encourage or discourage any particular medical technologies. Medical decisions relative to medical technologies are to be made strictly by members/patients in consultation with their health care providers. The conclusion that a particular service or supply is medically necessary does not constitute a representation or warranty that the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Service Benefit Plan covers (or pays for) this service or supply for a particular member.