

## FEP 9.03.20 Intraocular Radiotherapy for Age-Related Macular Degeneration

**Effective Date:** July 15, 2018

**Related Policies:**

6.01.10 Stereotactic Radiosurgery and Stereotactic Body Radiotherapy

8.01.10 Charged-Particle (Proton or Helium Ion) Radiotherapy

9.03.08 Photodynamic Therapy for Choroidal Neovascularization

## Intraocular Radiotherapy for Age-Related Macular Degeneration

### Description

Intraocular radiation, including brachytherapy, proton beam therapy, and stereotactic radiotherapy, are being evaluated to treat choroidal neovascularization (CNV) associated with age-related macular degeneration (AMD).

### FDA REGULATORY STATUS

No devices are specifically approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration for intraocular radiation. An investigational device exemption was granted by the Food and Drug Administration for a phase 3 multicenter trial of the EPI-RAD90™ (now known as Vidion Anti-Neovascular Epimacular Brachytherapy [EMBT] System; NeoVista) to provide data for a device application to the Food and Drug Administration. This is a category B procedure.

### POLICY STATEMENT

Intraocular placement of a radiation source (brachytherapy) for the treatment of choroidal neovascularization is considered **investigational**.

Proton beam therapy for the treatment of choroidal neovascularization is considered **investigational**.

Stereotactic radiotherapy for the treatment of choroidal neovascularization is considered **investigational**.

### BENEFIT APPLICATION

Experimental or investigational procedures, treatments, drugs, or devices are not covered (See General Exclusion Section of brochure).

### RATIONALE

#### Summary of Evidence

For individuals who have CNV due to AMD who receive brachytherapy, the evidence includes 2 RCTs comparing brachytherapy plus vascular endothelial growth factor with vascular endothelial growth factor monotherapy as well as phase 1/2 trials and case series on the use of brachytherapy. Relevant outcomes are change in disease status, morbid events, functional outcomes, quality of life, medication use, and treatment-related morbidity. Both RCTs showed that brachytherapy did not attain noninferiority for visual acuity outcomes and was associated with a higher proportion of adverse events. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

**Original Policy Date:** March 2012

**Page:** 1

## FEP 9.03.20 Intraocular Radiotherapy for Age-Related Macular Degeneration

For individuals who have CNV due to AMD who receive PBT, the evidence includes a randomized, prospective, sham-controlled trial and a pilot study. Relevant outcomes are change in disease status, morbid events, functional outcomes, quality of life, medication use, and treatment-related morbidity. Recruitment into the RCT was halted for ethical concerns, and available results did not show statistically significant stabilization of visual acuity. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have CNV due to AMD who receive stereotactic radiotherapy, the evidence includes an RCT with sham control. Relevant outcomes are change in disease status, morbid events, functional outcomes, quality of life, medication use, and treatment-related morbidity. The RCT showed a reduction in the number of vascular endothelial growth factor treatments at 12- and 24-month intervals, but no significant differences vs controls for changes in visual acuity. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

### SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

#### Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

##### American Academy of Ophthalmology

In 2015, the American Academy of Ophthalmology updated its evidenced-based preferred practice pattern on age-related macular degeneration.<sup>15</sup> For extrafoveal choroidal neovascularization, radiotherapy was not recommended (SIGN grade: III; GRADE assessment: moderate level of evidence, strong recommendation).

##### National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

The 2011 guidance from the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence stated that current evidence on the efficacy of epiretinal brachytherapy for wet age-related macular degeneration is "inadequate and limited to small numbers of patients."<sup>16</sup> For safety, "vitrectomy has well-recognised complications and there is a possibility of subsequent radiation retinopathy." The Institute concluded that wet age-related macular degeneration should only be used for "research."

#### U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations

Not applicable.

#### Medicare National Coverage

There is no national coverage determination (NCD). In the absence of an NCD, coverage decisions are left to the discretion of local Medicare carriers.

### REFERENCES

1. Jackson TL, Desai R, Simpson A, et al. Epimacular brachytherapy for previously treated neovascular age-related macular degeneration (MERLOT): a phase 3 randomized controlled trial. *Ophthalmology*. Jun 2016;123(6):1287-1296. PMID 27086023
2. Dugel PU, Bebhuk JD, Nau J, et al. Epimacular brachytherapy for neovascular age-related macular degeneration: a randomized, controlled trial (CABERNET). *Ophthalmology*. Feb 2013;120(2):317-327. PMID 23174399
3. Jackson TL, Dugel PU, Bebhuk JD, et al. Epimacular brachytherapy for neovascular age-related macular degeneration (CABERNET): fluorescein angiography and optical coherence tomography. *Ophthalmology*. Aug 2013;120(8):1597-1603. PMID 23490325
4. Dugel PU, Petrarca R, Bennett M, et al. Macular epiretinal brachytherapy in treated age-related macular degeneration: MERITAGE study: twelve-month safety and efficacy results. *Ophthalmology*. Jul 2012;119(7):1425-1431. PMID 22465819

## FEP 9.03.20 Intraocular Radiotherapy for Age-Related Macular Degeneration

5. Petrarca R, Dugel PU, Nau J, et al. Macular epiretinal brachytherapy in treated age-related macular degeneration (MERITAGE): month 12 optical coherence tomography and fluorescein angiography. *Ophthalmology*. Feb 2013;120(2):328-333. PMID 23178157
6. Petrarca R, Dugel PU, Bennett M, et al. Macular epiretinal brachytherapy in treated age-related macular degeneration (MERITAGE): month 24 safety and efficacy results. *Retina*. May 2014;34(5):874-879. PMID 24169101
7. Avila MP, Farah ME, Santos A, et al. Twelve-month safety and visual acuity results from a feasibility study of intraocular, epiretinal radiation therapy for the treatment of subfoveal CNV secondary to AMD. *Retina*. Feb 2009;29(2):157-169. PMID 19202425
8. Avila MP, Farah ME, Santos A, et al. Twelve-month short-term safety and visual-acuity results from a multicentre prospective study of epiretinal strontium-90 brachytherapy with bevacizumab for the treatment of subfoveal choroidal neovascularisation secondary to age-related macular degeneration. *Br J Ophthalmol*. Mar 2009;93(3):305-309. PMID 19019935
9. Avila MP, Farah ME, Santos A, et al. Three-year safety and visual acuity results of epimacular 90strontium/90yttrium brachytherapy with bevacizumab for the treatment of subfoveal choroidal neovascularization secondary to age-related macular degeneration. *Retina*. Jan 2012;32(1):10-18. PMID 21817963
10. Park SS, Daftari I, Phillips T, et al. Three-year follow-up of a pilot study of ranibizumab combined with proton beam irradiation as treatment for exudative age-related macular degeneration. *Retina*. May 2012;32(5):956-966. PMID 22183743
11. Ciulla TA, Danis RP, Klein SB, et al. Proton therapy for exudative age-related macular degeneration: a randomized, sham-controlled clinical trial. *Am J Ophthalmol*. Dec 2002;134(6):905-906. PMID 12470761
12. Jackson TL, Chakravarthy U, Kaiser PK, et al. Stereotactic radiotherapy for neovascular age-related macular degeneration: 52-week safety and efficacy results of the INTREPID study. *Ophthalmology*. Sep 2013;120(9):1893-1900. PMID 23490327
13. Jackson TL, Chakravarthy U, Slakter JS, et al. Stereotactic radiotherapy for neovascular age-related macular degeneration: year 2 results of the INTREPID study. *Ophthalmology*. Jan 2015;122(1):138-145. PMID 25208859
14. Ranjbar M, Kurz M, Holzhey A, et al. Stereotactic radiotherapy in neovascular age-related macular degeneration: Real-life efficacy and morphological evaluation of the outer retina-choroid complex. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. Dec 2016;95(52):e5729. PMID 28033280
15. American Academy of Ophthalmology Retina/Vitreous Panel. *Preferred Practice Pattern: Age-Related Macular Degeneration*. San Francisco, CA: American Academy of Ophthalmology; 2015.
16. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Epiretinal brachytherapy for wet age-related macular degeneration [IPG415]. 2011; <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/IPG415>. Accessed February 13, 2018.

### POLICY HISTORY

Date	Action	Description
March 2012	New Policy	
June 2013	Update Policy	Policy updated with literature review, references updated and reordered, investigational statement added on proton beam therapy and policy title change to "Intraocular Radiation Therapy" from "Epiretinal Radiation Therapy"
June 2014	Update Policy	Policy was updated with literature review adding references 6, 7, 9 and 10. No change was made to the policy statement. The summary was revised with no change to the intent.
June 2015	Update Policy	Policy was updated with literature, updating reference 10. No changes to the policy statements.
September 2016	Update Policy	Policy updated with literature review; references 12 and 14 added. Policy statements clarified as to type of radiation therapy used, but intent unchanged.
June 2018	Update Policy	Policy updated with literature review through January 8, 2018; references 3 and 16-17 added. Policy statements unchanged.

The policies contained in the FEP Medical Policy Manual are developed to assist in administering contractual benefits and do not constitute medical advice. They are not intended to replace or substitute for the independent medical judgment of a practitioner or other health care professional in the treatment of an individual member. The Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association does not intend by the FEP Medical Policy Manual, or by any particular medical policy, to recommend, advocate, encourage or discourage any particular medical technologies. Medical decisions relative to medical technologies are to be made strictly by members/patients in consultation with their health care providers. The conclusion that a particular service or supply is medically necessary does not constitute a representation or warranty that the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Service Benefit Plan covers (or pays for) this service or supply for a particular member.