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Bioengineered Skin and Soft Tissue Substitutes

Description
Bioengineered skin and soft tissue substitutes may be derived from human tissue (autologous or allogeneic), nonhuman tissue (xenographic), synthetic materials, or a composite of these materials. Bioengineered skin and soft tissue substitutes are being evaluated for a variety of conditions, including breast reconstruction and healing lower-extremity ulcers and severe burns. Acellular dermal matrix (ADM) products are also being evaluated for soft tissue repair.

FDA REGULATORY STATUS
A large number of artificial skin products are commercially available or in development. The following summary of commercially available skin substitutes describes those products that have substantial relevant evidence on efficacy.

ADM Products
Allograft ADM products derived from donated human skin tissue are supplied by tissue banks compliant with standards of the American Association of Tissue Banks and U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) guidelines. The processing removes the cellular components (ie, epidermis, all viable dermal cells) that can lead to rejection and infection. ADM products from human skin tissue are regarded as minimally processed and not significantly changed in structure from the natural material; FDA classifies ADM products as banked human tissue and, therefore, not requiring FDA approval.

- AlloDerm® (LifeCell Corp.) is an ADM (allograft) tissue-replacement product created from native human skin and processed so that the basement membrane and cellular matrix remain intact. Originally, AlloDerm® required refrigeration and rehydration before use. It is currently available in a ready-to-use product stored at room temperature. An injectable micronized form of AlloDerm® (Cymetra) is available.
- Cortiva® (previously marketed as AlloMax™ Surgical Graft and before that NeoForm™) is an acellular non-cross-linked human dermis allograft.
- AlloPatch® (Musculoskeletal Transplant Foundation) is an acellular human dermis allograft derived from the reticular layer of the dermis and marketed for wound care. This product is also marketed as FlexHD® for postmastectomy breast reconstruction.
- FlexHD® and the newer formulation FlexHD® Pliable™ (Musculoskeletal Transplant Foundation) are acellular hydrated reticular dermis allograft derived from donated human skin.
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- DermACELL™ (LifeNet Health) is an allogeneic ADM processed with proprietary technologies MATRCELL® and PRESERVON®.
- DermaMatrix™ (Synthes) is a freeze-dried ADM derived from donated human skin tissue. DermaMatrix Acellular Dermis is processed by the Musculoskeletal Transplant Foundation.
- DermaPure™ (Tissue Regenix Wound Care) is a single-layer decellularized human dermal allograft for the treatment of acute and chronic wounds.
- Graftjacket® Regenerative Tissue Matrix (also called Graftjacket Skin Substitute; KCI) is an acellular regenerative tissue matrix that has been processed from human skin supplied from U.S. tissue banks. The allograft is minimally processed to remove the epidermal and dermal cells while preserving dermal structure. Graftjacket Xpress® is an injectable product.

FDA product codes: FTM, OXF.

**Xenogenic Products**

CytaI™ (previously called MatriStem®) Wound Matrix, Multilayer Wound Matrix, Pelvic Floor Matrix, MicroMatrix, and Burn Matrix (all manufactured by ACell) are composed of porcine-derived urinary bladder matrix. FDA 510(k) number K152721

Helicoll (Encol) is an acellular collagen matrix derived from bovine dermis. In 2004, it was cleared for marketing by FDA through the 510(k) process for topical wound management that includes partial and full-thickness wounds, pressure ulcers, venous ulcers, chronic vascular ulcers, diabetic ulcers, trauma wounds (eg, abrasions, lacerations, second-degree burns, skin tears), and surgical wounds including donor sites/grafts. FDA 510(k) number K040314

Keramatrix® (Keraplast Research) is open-cell foam comprised of freeze-dried keratin that is derived from acellular animal protein. In 2009, it was cleared for marketing by FDA through the 510(k) process under the name of Keratec FDA 510(k) number K080949. The wound dressings are indicated in the management of the following types of dry, light, and moderately exuding partial and full-thickness wounds: pressure (stage I-IV) and venous stasis ulcers, ulcers caused by mixed vascular etiologies, diabetic ulcers, donor sites, and grafts.

Kerecis™ Omega3 Wound (Kerecis) is an ADM derived from fish skin. It has a high content of omega 3 fatty acids and is intended for use in burn wounds, chronic wounds, and other applications. FDA 510(k) number K153364

Permacol™ (Covidien) is xenogeneic and composed of cross-linked porcine dermal collagen. Cross-linking improves the tensile strength and long-term durability but decreases pliability.

PriMatrix™ (TEI Biosciences) is a xenogeneic ADM processed from fetal bovine dermis. It was cleared for marketing by FDA through the 510(k) process for partial- and full-thickness wounds; diabetic, pressure, and venous stasis ulcers; surgical wounds; and tunneling, draining, and traumatic wounds. FDA product code: KGN.

SurgiMend® PRS (TEI Biosciences) is a xenogeneic ADM processed from fetal bovine dermis.

Strattice™ Reconstructive Tissue Matrix (LifeCell Corp.) is a xenogenic non-cross-linked porcine-derived ADM. There are pliable and firm versions, which are stored at room temperature and come fully hydrated.

Oasis™ Wound Matrix (Cook Biotech) is a collagen scaffold (extracellular matrix) derived from porcine small intestinal submucosa. In 2000, it was cleared for marketing by FDA through the 510(k) process for the management of partial- and full-thickness wounds, including pressure ulcers, venous ulcers, diabetic ulcers, chronic vascular ulcers, tunneled undermined wounds, surgical wounds, trauma wounds, and draining wounds. FDA Product code: KGN.
Living Cell Therapy

Apligraf® (Organogenesis) is a bilayered living cell therapy composed of an epidermal layer of living human keratinocytes and a dermal layer of living human fibroblasts. Apligraf® is supplied as needed, in 1 size, with a shelf-life of 10 days. In 1998, it received FDA premarket approval for use in conjunction with compression therapy for the treatment of noninfected, partial- and full-thickness skin ulcers due to venous insufficiency and in 2001 for full-thickness neuropathic diabetic lower-extremity ulcers nonresponsive to standard wound therapy. FDA product code: FTM.

Dermagraft® (Organogenesis) is composed of cryopreserved human-derived fibroblasts and collagen derived from newborn human foreskin and cultured on a bioabsorbable polyglactin mesh scaffold. Dermagraft has received premarket approval by FDA for repair of diabetic foot ulcers. FDA product code: PFC.

TheraSkin® (Soluble Systems) is a cryopreserved split-thickness human skin allograft composed of living fibroblasts and keratinocytes and an extracellular matrix in epidermal and dermal layers. TheraSkin® is derived from human skin allograft supplied by tissue banks compliant with the American Association of Tissue Banks and FDA guidelines. It is considered a minimally processed human cell, tissue, and cellular- and tissue based product by FDA.

Epicel® (Genzyme Biosurgery) is an epithelial autograft composed of a patient’s own keratinocytes cultured ex vivo and is FDA-approved under a humanitarian device exemption for the treatment of deep dermal or full-thickness burns comprising a total body surface area of 30% or more. It may be used in conjunction with split-thickness autografts or alone in patients for whom split-thickness autografts may not be an option due to the severity and extent of their burns. FDA product code: OCE.

OrCel™ (Forticell Bioscience; formerly Composite Cultured Skin) is an absorbable allogeneic bilayered cellular matrix, made of bovine collagen, in which human dermal cells have been cultured. It was approved by FDA premarket approval for healing donor site wounds in burn victims and under a humanitarian device exemption (HDE) for use in patients with recessive dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa undergoing hand reconstruction surgery to close and heal wounds created by the surgery, including those at donor sites. FDA product code: ODS.

Biosynthetic Products

Biobrane®/Biobrane-L (Smith & Nephew) is a biosynthetic wound dressing constructed of a silicon film with a nylon fabric partially imbedded into the film. The fabric creates a complex 3-dimensional structure of trifilament thread, which chemically binds collagen. Blood/sera clot in the nylon matrix, adhering the dressing to the wound until epithelialization occurs. FDA product code: FRO.

Integra® Dermal Regeneration Template (also marketed as Omnigraft Dermal Regeneration Matrix; Integra LifeSciences) is a bovine, collagen/glycosaminoglycan dermal replacement covered by a silicone temporary epidermal substitute. It was approved by FDA for use in the postexcisional treatment of life-threatening full-thickness or deep partial-thickness thermal injury where sufficient autograft is not available at the time of excision or not desirable because of the physiologic condition of the patient and for certain diabetic foot ulcers. Integra® Matrix Wound Dressing and Integra® Meshed Bilayer Wound Matrix are substantially equivalent skin substitutes and were cleared for marketing by FDA through the 510(k) process for other indications. Integra® Bilayer Matrix Wound Dressing (Integra LifeSciences) is designed to be used in conjunction with negative pressure wound therapy. The meshed bilayer provides a flexible wound covering and allows drainage of wound exudate. FDA product code: MDD.

TransCyte™ (Advanced Tissue Sciences) consists of human dermal fibroblasts grown on nylon mesh, combined with a synthetic epidermal layer and was approved by FDA in 1997. TransCyte is intended as a temporary covering over burns until autografting is possible. It can also be used as a temporary covering for some burn wounds that heal without autografting.
**Synthetic Products**

Suprathel® (PolyMedics Innovations) is a synthetic copolymer membrane fabricated from a tripolymer of polylactide, trimethylene carbonate, and s-caprolactone. It is used to provide temporary coverage of superficial dermal burns and wounds. Suprathel® is covered with gauze and a dressing that is left in place until the wound has healed.

**POLICY STATEMENT**

Breast reconstructive surgery using allogeneic acellular dermal matrix products\(^a\) (including each of the following: AlloDerm®, AlloMend®, Cortiva® [AlloMax™], DermACELL™, DermaMatrix™, FlexHD®, FlexHD® Pliable™, Graftjacket®; see Policy Guidelines) may be considered medically necessary:

- when there is insufficient tissue expander or implant coverage by the pectoralis major muscle and additional coverage is required,
- when there is viable but compromised or thin postmastectomy skin flaps that are at risk of dehiscence or necrosis, or
- the inframammary fold and lateral mammary folds have been undermined during mastectomy and reestablishment of these landmarks is needed.

Treatment of chronic, noninfected, full-thickness diabetic lower-extremity ulcers using the following tissue-engineered skin substitutes may be considered medically necessary:

- AlloPatch®\(^b\)
- Apligraf®\(^b\)
- Dermagraft®\(^b\)
- Integra® Omnigraft™ Dermal Regeneration Matrix (also known as Omnigraf™t) and Integra Flowable Wound Matrix.

Treatment of chronic, noninfected, partial- or full-thickness lower-extremity skin ulcers due to venous insufficiency, which have not adequately responded following a 1-month period of conventional ulcer therapy, using the following tissue-engineered skin substitutes may be considered medically necessary:

- Apligraf®\(^b\)
- Oasis™ Wound Matrix\(^c\).

Treatment of dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa using the following tissue-engineered skin substitutes may be considered medically necessary:

- OrCel™ (for the treatment of mitten-hand deformity when standard wound therapy has failed and when provided in accordance with the humanitarian device exemption [HDE] specifications of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration [FDA])\(^d\).

Treatment of second- and third-degree burns using the following tissue-engineered skin substitutes may be considered medically necessary:

- Epicel® (for the treatment of deep dermal or full-thickness burns comprising a total body surface area ≥30% when provided in accordance with the HDE specifications of the FDA)\(^d\)
- Integra® Dermal Regeneration Template\(^b\).

\(^a\) Banked human tissue.

\(^b\) FDA premarket approval.

\(^c\) FDA 510(k) clearance.

\(^d\) FDA-approved under an HDE.

All other uses of the bioengineered skin and soft tissue substitutes listed above are considered investigational.
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All other skin and soft tissue substitutes not listed above are considered investigational, including, but not limited to:

- ACell® UBM Hydrated/Lyophilized Wound Dressing
- AlloSkin™
- AlloSkin™ RT
- Aongen™ Collagen Matrix
- Architect® ECM, PX, FX
- ArthroFlex™ (Flex Graft)
- Atlas Wound Matrix
- Avagen Wound Dressing
- AxoGuard® Nerve Protector (AxoGen)
- Biobrane®/Biobrane-L
- CollaCare®
- CollaCare® Dental
- Collagen Wound Dressing (Oasis Research)
- CollaGUARD®
- CollaMend™
- CollaWound™
- Collexa®
- Collieva®
- Conexa™
- Coreleader Colla-Pad
- CorMatrix®
- Cymetra™ (Micronized AlloDerm™
- Cytal™ (previously MatriStem®)
- Dermadapt™ Wound Dressing
- DermaPure™
- DermaSpan™
- DressSkin
- Durepair Regeneration Matrix®
- Endoform Dermal Template™
- ENDURAGen™
- Excellagen
- ExpressGraft™
- E-Z Derm™
- FlexiGraft®
- GammaGraft
- Graftjacket® Xpress, injectable
- Helicoll™
- Hyalomatrix®
- Hyalomatrix® PA
- hMatrix®
- Integra™ Bilayer Wound Matrix
- Keramatrix®
- Kerecis™
- MariGen™/Kerecis™ Omega3™
- MatriDerm®

The policies contained in the FEP Medical Policy Manual are developed to assist in administering contractual benefits and do not constitute medical advice. They are not intended to replace or substitute for the independent medical judgment of a practitioner or other health care professional in the treatment of an individual member. The Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association does not intend by the FEP Medical Policy Manual, or by any particular medical policy, to recommend, advocate, encourage or discourage any particular medical technologies. Medical decisions relative to medical technologies are to be made strictly by members/patients in consultation with their health care providers. The conclusion that a particular service or supply is medically necessary does not constitute a representation or warranty that the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Service Benefit Plan covers (or pays for) this service or supply for a particular member.
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- Matrix HD™
- Mediskin®
- MemoDerm™
- Microderm® biologic wound matrix
- NeoForm™
- NuCel
- Oasis® Burn Matrix
- Oasis® Ultra
- Pelvicol®/PelviSoft®
- Permacol™
- PriMatrix™
- PriMatrix™ Dermal Repair Scaffold
- PuraPly™ Wound Matrix (previously FortaDerm™)
- PuraPly™ AM (Antimicrobial Wound Matrix)
- Puros® Dermis
- RegenePro™
- Repliform®
- Repriza™
- StrataGraft®
- Strattice™ (xenograft)
- Suprathel®
- SurgiMend®
- Talymed®
- TenoGlide™
- TenSIX™ Acellular Dermal Matrix
- TissueMend
- TheraForm™ Standard/Sheet
- TheraSkin®
- TransCyte™
- TruSkin™
- Veritas® Collagen Matrix
- XCM Biologic® Tissue Matrix
- XenMatrix™ AB.

POLICY GUIDELINES

Note that amniotic membrane and amniotic fluid products are reviewed in evidence review 7.01.149.

The products listed are those that have been identified for use in breast reconstruction. Additional acellular dermal matrix products may become available for this indication.

BENEFIT APPLICATION

Experimental or investigational procedures, treatments, drugs, or devices are not covered (See General Exclusion Section of brochure).

RATIONALE

Summary of Evidence
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Breast Reconstruction
For individuals who are undergoing breast reconstruction who receive allogeneic ADM products, the evidence includes RCTs and systematic reviews. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, morbid events, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. A systematic review found no difference in overall complication rates with ADM allograft compared with standard procedures for breast reconstruction. Reconstructions with ADM have been reported to have higher seroma, infection, and necrosis rates than reconstructions without ADM. However, capsular contracture and malposition of implants may be reduced. Thus, in cases where there is limited tissue coverage, the available evidence may inform patient decision making about reconstruction options. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

Tendon Repair
For individuals who are undergoing tendon repair who receive Graftjacket, the evidence includes an RCT. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, morbid events, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. The RCT identified found improved outcomes with the Graftjacket ADM allograft for rotator cuff repair. Although these results were positive, additional study with a larger number of patients is needed to evaluate the consistency of the effect. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

Surgical Repair of Hernias or Parastomal Reinforcement
For individuals who are undergoing surgical repair of hernias or parastomal reinforcement who receive acellular collagen-based scaffolds, the evidence includes RCTs. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, morbid events, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. Several comparative studies including RCTs have shown no difference in outcomes between tissue-engineered skin substitutes and either standard synthetic mesh or no reinforcement. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology is unlikely to improve the net health outcome.

Diabetic Lower-Extremity Ulcers
For individuals who have diabetic lower-extremity ulcers who receive AlloPatch, Apligraf, Dermagraft, or Integra, the evidence includes RCTs. Relevant outcomes are disease-specific survival, symptoms, change in disease status, morbid events, and quality of life. RCTs have demonstrated the efficacy of AlloPatch (reticular ADM), Apligraf and Dermagraft (living cell therapy), and Integra (biosynthetic) over the standard of care. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have diabetic lower-extremity ulcers who receive ADM products other than AlloPatch, Apligraf, Dermagraft, or Integra, the evidence includes RCTs. Relevant outcomes are disease-specific survival, symptoms, change in disease status, morbid events, and quality of life. Results from a multicenter RCT showed some benefit of DermACELL that was primarily for the subgroup of patients who only required a single application of the ADM. Studies are needed to further define the population who might benefit from this treatment. Additional study with a larger number of subjects is needed to evaluate the effect of Graftjacket, TheraSkin, DermACELL, Cytal, PriMatrix, and Oasis Wound Matrix, compared with current SOC or other advanced wound therapies. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

Lower-Extremity Ulcers due to Venous Insufficiency
For individuals who have lower-extremity ulcers due to venous insufficiency who receive Apligraf or Oasis Wound Matrix, the evidence includes RCTs. Relevant outcomes are disease-specific survival, symptoms, change in disease status, morbid events, and quality of life. RCTs have demonstrated the efficacy of Apligraf living cell therapy and xenogenic Oasis Wound Matrix over the standard of care. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

The policies contained in the FEP Medical Policy Manual are developed to assist in administering contractual benefits and do not constitute medical advice. They are not intended to replace or substitute for the independent medical judgment of a practitioner or other health care professional in the treatment of an individual member. The Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association does not intend by the FEP Medical Policy Manual, or by any particular medical policy, to recommend, advocate, encourage or discourage any particular medical technologies. Medical decisions relative to medical technologies are to be made strictly by members/patients in consultation with their health care providers. The conclusion that a particular service or supply is medically necessary does not constitute a representation or warranty that the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Service Benefit Plan covers (or pays for) this service or supply for a particular member.
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For individuals who have lower-extremity ulcers due to venous insufficiency who receive bioengineered skin substitutes other than Apligraf or Oasis Wound Matrix, the evidence includes RCTs. Relevant outcomes are disease-specific survival, symptoms, change in disease status, morbid events, and quality of life. In a moderately large RCT, Dermagraft was not shown to be more effective than controls for the primary or secondary end points in the entire population and was only slightly more effective than controls (an 8%–15% increase in healing) in subgroups of patients with ulcer durations of 12 months or less or size of 10 cm or less. Additional study with a larger number of subjects is needed to evaluate the effect of the xenogenic PriMatrix skin substitute vs the current standard of care. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

Dystrophic Epidermolysis Bullosa
For individuals who have dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa who receive OrCel, the evidence includes case series. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, morbid events, and quality of life. OrCel was approved under a humanitarian drug exemption for use in patients with dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa undergoing hand reconstruction surgery, to close and heal wounds created by the surgery, including those at donor sites. Outcomes have been reported in small series (eg, 5 patients). The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

Deep Dermal Burns
For individuals who have deep dermal burns who receive bioengineered skin substitutes (ie, Epicel, Integra Dermal Regeneration Template), the evidence includes RCTs. Relevant outcomes are disease-specific survival, symptoms, change in disease status, morbid events, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. Overall, few skin substitutes have been approved, and the evidence is limited for each product. Epicel (living cell therapy) has received FDA approval under a humanitarian device exemption for the treatment of deep dermal or full-thickness burns comprising a total body surface area of 30% or more. Comparative studies have demonstrated improved outcomes for biosynthetic skin substitute Integra Dermal Regeneration Template for the treatment of burns. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

American Society of Plastic Surgeons and Wound Healing Society
A literature review for the 2013 guidelines from the American Society of Plastic Surgeons (ASPS) found that use of ADM, although increasingly common in postmastectomy expander/implant breast reconstruction, can result in increased risk of complications in the presence of certain risk factors. ASPS noted that cellular dermal matrix is currently used to increase soft tissue coverage, support the implant pocket, improve contour, and reduce pain with expansion. However, evidence to support these improved surgical outcomes are limited. Some evidence has suggested that use of ADM is associated with increased postoperative complications, specifically related to infection and seroma. Overall, ASPS found that evidence on ADM products in postmastectomy expander/implant breast reconstruction was varied and conflicting, and gave a grade C recommendation based on level III evidence that surgeons should evaluate each clinical case individually and objectively determine the use of ADM.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence
In 2016, the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence updated its guidance on the prevention and management of diabetic foot problems. The Institute recommended that clinicians “consider dermal or skin substitutes as an adjunct to standard care when treating diabetic foot ulcers, only when healing has not progressed and on the advice of the multidisciplinary foot care service.”

The policies contained in the FEP Medical Policy Manual are developed to assist in administering contractual benefits and do not constitute medical advice. They are not intended to replace or substitute for the independent medical judgment of a practitioner or other health care professional in the treatment of an individual member. The Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association does not intend by the FEP Medical Policy Manual, or by any particular medical policy, to recommend, advocate, encourage or discourage any particular medical technologies. Medical decisions relative to medical technologies are to be made strictly by members/patients in consultation with their health care providers. The conclusion that a particular service or supply is medically necessary does not constitute a representation or warranty that the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Service Benefit Plan covers (or pays for) this service or supply for a particular member.
Infectious Diseases Society of America
The 2012 guidelines from the Infectious Diseases Society of America stated that, for selected diabetic foot wounds that are slow to heal, clinicians might consider using bioengineered skin equivalents (weak recommendation, moderate evidence), growth factors (weak, moderate), granulocyte colony-stimulating factors (weak, moderate), hyperbaric oxygen therapy (strong, moderate), or negative pressure wound therapy (weak, low).\(^6\) It was emphasized that none of these measures had been shown to improve the resolution of infection and that they were expensive, not universally available, might require consultation with experts, and reports supporting their utility were mostly flawed.

U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations
Not applicable.

Medicare National Coverage
There is no national coverage determination (NCD). In the absence of an NCD, coverage decisions are left to the discretion of local Medicare carriers.

REFERENCES
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POLICY HISTORY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>September 2011</td>
<td>New Policy</td>
<td>Policy updated and scope expanded; policy statements added for other indications; title changed to “Bio- Engineered Skin and Soft Tissue Substitutes”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 2013</td>
<td>Update Policy</td>
<td>Policy updated with literature search adding references 1, 13-15, 24, 36, 40, 48, 59, 66, and 68. First policy statement expanded to include other acellular dermal matrix products.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 2014</td>
<td>Update Policy</td>
<td>Policy updated with literature review through December 3, 2014; references 2, 17, 27, 37, 41, 42, and 44 added; EpiFix considered medically necessary for treatment of diabetic foot ulcers was added to the policy statement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 2016</td>
<td>Update Policy</td>
<td>Policy updated with literature review through October 30, 2015; references added and renumbered. Clinical input reviewed. Integra Dermal Regeneration Template, Biovance and Grafix were added as medically necessary for the treatment of diabetic foot ulcers. TransCyte removed from the medically necessary statement; it is no longer commercially available. HCPCS codes updated. Acellular dermal matrix products used in breast reconstruction clarified; investigational list updated with new products and name changes; wound dressing products removed from list</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 2017</td>
<td>Update Policy</td>
<td>Policy updated with literature review through November 7, 2016; references 6, 19, 26, and 28-29 added. Review of amniotic membrane products moved to evidence review 7.01.149; section on laryngoplasty removed. Products with new HCPCS codes (Microderm, TruSkin) added to investigational statement; Unite Biomatrix no longer available; MatriStem renamed Cytal; FortaDerm renamed PuraPly. Rationale revised to focus on randomized controlled trials and some references removed. AlloMend added to medically necessary statement for breast reconstructive surgery. AlloPatch added to medically necessary statement for diabetic lower-extremity ulcers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2018</td>
<td>Update Policy</td>
<td>Policy updated with literature review through November 6, 2017; references 4-5, 7, 9, 15, 20, 29, 35, and 54 added; references 59 and 61 updated. DermACELL and FlexHD Pliable added to medically necessary statement on breast reconstructive surgery. Integra Flowable Wound Matrix added to medically necessary statement on use of Integra Dermal Regeneration Template for diabetic lower-extremity ulcers. Several</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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products added to investigational list.