Genetic Testing for Hereditary Hearing Loss

Description

Hearing loss is a common birth defect. Approximately 1 in 500 newborns in developed countries is affected by bilateral, permanent hearing loss of moderate or greater severity (≥40 decibels). Syndromic hearing loss refers to hearing loss associated with other medical or physical findings, including visible abnormalities of the external ear. Because syndromic hearing loss occurs as part of a syndrome of multiple clinical manifestations, it is often recognized more readily as hereditary. Nonsyndromic hearing loss (NSHL) is defined as hearing loss not associated with other physical signs or symptoms. NSHL accounts for 70% to 80% of genetically determined deafness and it is more difficult to determine whether the etiology is hereditary or acquired.

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this evidence review is to determine whether genetic testing improves the net health outcome in individuals who are suspected of having hereditary nonsyndromic hearing loss. This review does not address preconception or prenatal testing.

POLICY STATEMENT

Genetic testing for hereditary hearing loss genes (GJB2, GJB6, and other hereditary hearing loss-related genes) in individuals with suspected hearing loss to confirm the diagnosis of hereditary hearing loss (see Policy Guidelines section) may be considered medically necessary.

The policies contained in the FEP Medical Policy Manual are developed to assist in administering contractual benefits and do not constitute medical advice. They are not intended to replace or substitute for the independent medical judgment of a practitioner or other health care professional in the treatment of an individual member. The Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association does not intend by the FEP Medical Policy Manual, or by any particular medical policy, to recommend, advocate, encourage or discourage any particular medical technologies. Medical decisions relative to medical technologies are to be made strictly by members/patients in consultation with their health care providers. The conclusion that a particular service or supply is medically necessary does not constitute a representation or warranty that the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Service Benefit Plan covers (or pays for) this service or supply for a particular member.
POLICY GUIDELINES

Hereditary hearing loss can be classified as syndromic or nonsyndromic. The definition of nonsyndromic hearing loss is hearing loss not associated with other physical signs and symptoms at the time of hearing loss presentation. It is differentiated from syndromic hearing loss, which is hearing loss associated with other signs and symptoms characteristic of a specific syndrome. Physical signs of a syndrome often include dysmorphic changes in the maxillofacial region and/or malformations of the external ears. Malfunction of internal organs may also be part of a syndrome. The physical signs can be subtle and easily missed on physical exam, therefore, exclusion of syndromic findings is ideally done by an individual with expertise in identifying dysmorphic physical signs. The phenotypic presentation of nonsyndromic hearing loss varies, but generally involves the following features:

- Sensorineural hearing loss
- Mild-to-profound (more commonly) degree of hearing impairment
- Congenital onset
- Usually nonprogressive.

This policy primarily focuses on the use of genetic testing to identify a cause of suspected hereditary hearing loss. The diagnosis of syndromic hearing loss can be made on the basis of associated clinical findings. However, at the time of hearing loss presentation, associated clinical findings may not be apparent; furthermore, variants in certain genetic loci may cause both syndromic and nonsyndromic hearing loss. Given this overlap, the policy focuses on genetic testing for hereditary hearing loss more generally.

In addition to pathogenic variants in the \( GJB6 \) and \( GJB2 \) genes, there are many less common pathogenic variants found in other genes. They include: ACTG1, CDH23, CLDN14, COCH, COL11A2, DFNA5, DFNB31, DFNB59, ESPN, EYA4, GJB2, GJB6, KCNO4, LHFP5, MT-TS1, MYO15A, MYO6, MYO7A, OTOF, PCDH15, POU3F4, SLC26A4, STRC, TECTA, TMC1, TMIE, TMPRSS3, TRIOBP, USH1C, and WFS1 genes.

Targeted testing for variants associated with hereditary hearing loss should be confined to known pathogenic variants. While research studies using genome-wide associations have uncovered numerous single nucleotide variants and copy number variations associated with hereditary hearing loss, the clinical significance of these findings is unclear.

Testing Strategy

Evaluation of a patient with suspected hereditary hearing loss should involve a careful physical exam and family history to assess for associated clinical findings that may point to a specific syndromic or nonsyndromic cause of hearing loss (e.g., infectious, toxic, autoimmune, other causes). Consideration should also be given to temporal bone computed tomography scanning in cases of progressive hearing loss and to testing for cytomegalovirus in infants with sensorineural hearing loss.

If there is no high suspicion for a specific hearing loss etiology, ideally the evaluation should occur in a step-wise fashion. About 50% of individuals with autosomal recessive hereditary hearing loss have pathogenic variants in the \( GJB2 \) gene. In the remainder of patients with apparent autosomal recessive hereditary hearing loss, numerous other genes are implicated. In autosomal dominant hereditary hearing loss, there is no single identifiable gene responsible for most cases. If there is suspicion for autosomal recessive congenital hearing loss, it would be reasonable to begin with testing of \( GJB2 \) and \( GJB6 \). If this is negative, screening for the other genes associated with hearing loss with a multigene panel would be efficient. An alternative strategy for suspected autosomal recessive or autosomal dominant hearing loss would be to obtain a multigene panel that includes \( GJB2 \) and \( GJB6 \) as a first step. Given the extreme heterogeneity in genetic causes of hearing loss, these 2 strategies may be considered reasonably equivalent.

Genetics Nomenclature Update

The Human Genome Variation Society nomenclature is used to report information on variants found in DNA and serves as an international standard in DNA diagnostics. It is being implemented for genetic testing medical evidence review updates starting in 2017 (see Table PG1). The Society's nomenclature is recommended by the Human Variome Project, the HUman Genome Organization, and by the Human Genome Variation Society itself.

The American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology standards and guidelines for interpretation of sequence variants represent expert opinion from both organizations, in addition to the College of American Pathologists. These recommendations primarily apply to genetic tests used in clinical laboratories, including genotyping, single genes, panels, exomes, and genomes. Table PG2 shows the recommended standard terminology—"pathogenic," "likely pathogenic," "uncertain significance," "likely benign," and "benign"—to describe variants identified that cause Mendelian disorders.

The policies contained in the FEP Medical Policy Manual are developed to assist in administering contractual benefits and do not constitute medical advice. They are not intended to replace or substitute for the independent medical judgment of a practitioner or other health care professional in the treatment of an individual member. The Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association does not intend by the FEP Medical Policy Manual, or by any particular medical policy, to recommend, advocate, encourage or discourage any particular medical technologies. Medical decisions relative to medical technologies are to be made strictly by members/patients in consultation with their health care providers. The conclusion that a particular service or supply is medically necessary does not constitute a representation or warranty that the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Service Benefit Plan covers (or pays for) this service or supply for a particular member.
Table PG1. Nomenclature to Report on Variants Found in DNA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Previous</th>
<th>Updated</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mutation</td>
<td>Disease-associated variant</td>
<td>Disease-associated change in the DNA sequence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variant</td>
<td>Change in the DNA sequence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Familial variant</td>
<td>Disease-associated variant identified in a proband for use in subsequent targeted genetic testing in first-degree relatives</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table PG2. ACMG-AMP Standards and Guidelines for Variant Classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variant Classification</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pathogenic</td>
<td>Disease-causing change in the DNA sequence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Likely pathogenic</td>
<td>Likely disease-causing change in the DNA sequence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variant of uncertain significance</td>
<td>Change in DNA sequence with uncertain effects on disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Likely benign</td>
<td>Likely benign change in the DNA sequence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benign</td>
<td>Benign change in the DNA sequence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACMG: American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics; AMP: Association for Molecular Pathology.

Genetic Counseling

Experts recommend formal genetic counseling for patients who are at risk for inherited disorders and who wish to undergo genetic testing. Interpreting the results of genetic tests and understanding risk factors can be difficult for some patients; genetic counseling helps individuals understand the impact of genetic testing, including the possible effects the test results could have on the individual or their family members. It should be noted that genetic counseling may alter the utilization of genetic testing substantially and may reduce inappropriate testing; further, genetic counseling should be performed by an individual with experience and expertise in genetic medicine and genetic testing methods.

BENEFIT APPLICATION

Screening (other than the preventive services listed in the brochure) is not covered. Please see Section 6 General exclusions.

Benefits are available for specialized diagnostic genetic testing when it is medically necessary to diagnose and/or manage a patient’s existing medical condition. Benefits are not provided for genetic panels when some or all of the tests included in the panel are not covered, are experimental or investigational, or are not medically necessary.

Experimental or investigational procedures, treatments, drugs, or devices are not covered (See General Exclusion Section of brochure).

The policies contained in the FEP Medical Policy Manual are developed to assist in administering contractual benefits and do not constitute medical advice. They are not intended to replace or substitute for the independent medical judgment of a practitioner or other health care professional in the treatment of an individual member. The Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association does not intend by the FEP Medical Policy Manual, or by any particular medical policy, to recommend, advocate, encourage or discourage any particular medical technologies. Medical decisions relative to medical technologies are to be made strictly by members/patients in consultation with their health care providers. The conclusion that a particular service or supply is medically necessary does not constitute a representation or warranty that the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Service Benefit Plan covers (or pays for) this service or supply for a particular member.
FDA REGULATORY STATUS

Clinical laboratories may develop and validate tests in-house and market them as a laboratory service; laboratory-developed tests must meet the general regulatory standards of the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments. Molecular diagnostic testing is available under the auspices of the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments. Laboratories that offer laboratory-developed tests must be licensed by the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments for high-complexity testing. To date, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration has chosen not to require any regulatory review of this test.

RATIONALE

Summary of Evidence

For individuals who are suspected of having hereditary NSHL who receive genetic testing, the evidence includes small retrospective, single-center studies, case reports, case series, and genotype-phenotype correlation studies evaluating the clinical validity and testing yield for NSHL. The relevant outcomes are test accuracy and validity, changes in reproductive decision making, morbidity events, and resource utilization. Genetic variants in GJB2, GJB6, and numerous other genes are found in a substantial percentage of patients with hereditary hearing loss. Of all patients with suspected hereditary hearing loss after clinical examination, a substantial proportion, in the range of 30% to 60%, will be found to have a genetic variant. The probability of finding a genetic variant is increasing as new variants are identified. False-positive results on genetic testing are expected to be very low. For diagnosis, there are a number of potential benefits of genetic testing, including a reduction in the need for alternative diagnostic tests and monitoring of patients with genetically identified syndromic hearing loss associated with other medical conditions. Clinical guidelines have recommended a tiered genetic testing approach, starting with the most common genes. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics

The American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (2014) issued practice guidelines for the clinical evaluation and etiologic diagnosis of hearing loss.27 The guidelines recommended obtaining testing for acquired hearing loss if there is clinical suspicion, including testing for cytomegalovirus, imaging, or other testing based on the suspected etiology. For individuals lacking physical findings suggestive of a known syndrome and having medical and birth histories not suggestive of an environmental cause of hearing loss, the guidelines made the following recommendations for a tiered diagnostic approach:

- "Pretest genetic counseling should be provided, and, with patient’s informed consent, genetic testing should be ordered."
  - Single-gene testing may be warranted in cases in which the medical or family history, or presentation of the hearing loss, suggests a specific etiology. For example, testing for mitochondrial DNA mutations associated with aminoglycoside ototoxicity may be considered for individuals with a history of use of aminoglycoside antibiotics.
  - In the absence of any specific clinical indications and for singleton cases and cases with apparent autosomal recessive inheritance, the next step should be testing for DFNB1-related hearing loss (due to mutations in GJB2 and adjacent deletions in GJB6).
  - If initial genetic testing is negative, genetic testing using gene panel tests, NGS [next-generation sequencing] technologies such as large sequencing panels targeted toward hearing loss-related genes, whole exome sequencing, or whole genome sequencing may be considered. Because several tests are clinically available, the clinician must be aware of the genes included in the test (panel) chosen and the performance characteristics of the platform chosen, including coverage, analytic sensitivity, and what types of mutations will be detected....
  - If genetic testing reveals mutation(s) in a hearing loss-related gene, mutation-specific genetic counseling should be provided, followed by appropriate medical evaluations and referrals."

The policies contained in the FEP Medical Policy Manual are developed to assist in administering contractual benefits and do not constitute medical advice. They are not intended to replace or substitute for the independent medical judgment of a practitioner or other health care professional in the treatment of an individual member. The Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association does not intend by the FEP Medical Policy Manual, or by any particular medical policy, to recommend, advocate, encourage or discourage any particular medical technologies. Medical decisions relative to medical technologies are to be made strictly by members/patients in consultation with their health care providers. The conclusion that a particular service or supply is medically necessary does not constitute a representation or warranty that the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Service Benefit Plan covers (or pays for) this service or supply for a particular member.
American Academy of Pediatrics

The American Academy of Pediatrics (2007) issued recommendations on early hearing detection:

"Every infant with confirmed hearing loss and/or middle ear dysfunction should be referred for otologic and other medical evaluation. The purpose of these evaluations is to determine the etiology of hearing loss, to identify related physical conditions, and to provide recommendations for medical/surgical treatment as well as referral for other services. Essential components of the medical evaluation include clinical history, family history of childhood-onset permanent hearing loss, identification of syndromes associated with early- or late-onset permanent hearing loss, a physical examination, and indicated radiologic and laboratory studies (including genetic testing)."

"The evaluation, therefore, should include a review of family history of specific genetic disorders or syndromes, including genetic testing for gene mutations such as GJB2 (connexin-26), and syndromes commonly associated with early-onset childhood sensorineural hearing loss...."

"All families of children with confirmed hearing loss should be offered, and may benefit from, a genetics evaluation and counseling. This evaluation can provide families with information on etiology of hearing loss, prognosis for progression, associated disorders (e.g., renal, vision, cardiac), and likelihood of recurrence in future offspring. This information may influence parents' decision-making regarding intervention options for their child."

There is a 2013 supplement to the Academy's (2007) position statement on early intervention after confirmation that a child is deaf or hard of hearing. Genetic testing was not addressed.

U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations

Not applicable.

Medicare National Coverage

There is no national coverage determination. In the absence of a national coverage determination, coverage decisions are left to the discretion of local Medicare carriers.
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**POLICY HISTORY - THIS POLICY WAS APPROVED BY THE FEP® PHARMACY AND MEDICAL POLICY COMMITTEE ACCORDING TO THE HISTORY BELOW:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>June 2018</td>
<td>New policy</td>
<td>Genetic testing for hereditary hearing loss genes (GJB2, GJB6, and other hereditary hearing loss–related genes) in individuals with suspected hearing loss to confirm the diagnosis of hereditary hearing loss (see Policy Guidelines section) may be considered medically necessary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2019</td>
<td>Replace policy</td>
<td>Policy updated with literature review through February 18, 2019; no references added. Policy statements unchanged.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>